

TUTDoR

Gap solitons in optical fibers with the concatenation model.

Item Type	Article
Authors	Alngar, Mohamed E. M.;Shohib, Reham. M. A.;Biswas, Anjan;Yildirim, Yakup;Moshokoa, Seithuti P.;Dakova, Anelia;Alshomrani, Ali Saleh
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12596-024-01892-0
Publisher	Springer
Rights	Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International
Download date	2026-04-14 08:58:58
Item License	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14519/1046



Gap solitons in optical fibers with the concatenation model

Mohamed E. M. Alngar¹ · Reham. M. A. Shohib² · Anjan Biswas^{3,4,5,6} · Yakup Yildirim^{7,8,9} · Seithuti P. Moshokoa¹⁰ · Anelia Dakova^{11,12} · Ali Saleh Alshomrani⁴

Received: 4 April 2024 / Accepted: 2 May 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract This paper recovers gap solitons from Bragg gratings of the concatenation model. The new mapping method recovers a full spectrum of optical solitons. The parameter constraints for the existence of such solitons are enumerated.

Keywords Gap solitons · Concatenation · Bragg gratings

OCIS Codes 060.2310 · 060.4510 · 060.5530 · 190.3270 · 190.4370

Introduction

A considerable amount of advances have been made with the concatenation model ever since its inception during 2014 [1, 2].

This model is a combination of the three well known equations that are individually considered and frequently talked about in fiber optics [3, 4]. They are the nonlinear Schrödinger's equation (NLSE), Lakshmanan–Porsezian–Daniel (LPD) equation and the Sasa–Satsuma equation (SSE) [5, 6]. The model was first proposed about a decade ago [7, 8]. Thereafter, a wide range of results have followed through for this model [9, 10]. These include the identification of the conservation laws, addressing the model using several forms of integration algorithms including undetermined coefficients and Kudryashov's approaches [11]. The current paper moves over to the next phase of the project. This work is to address gap solitons that emerge from the Bragg gratings structure which is introduced to compensate for the low count of CD. These gap solitons are thus retrieved from the model equation by the application of the new mapping method. A full spectrum

✉ Anjan Biswas
biswas.anjan@gmail.com

¹ Basic Science Department, Faculty of Computers and Artificial Intelligence, Modern University for Technology & Information, Cairo 11585, Egypt

² Basic Science Department, Higher Institute of Foreign Trade & Management Sciences, New Cairo Academy, Cairo 379, Egypt

³ Department of Mathematics and Physics, Grambling State University, Grambling, LA 71245-2715, USA

⁴ Mathematical Modeling and Applied Computation (MMAC) Research Group, Department of Mathematics, Center of Modern Mathematical Sciences and their Applications (CMMSA), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

⁵ Department of Applied Sciences, Cross–Border Faculty of Humanities, Economics and Engineering, Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, 111 Domneasca Street, Galati, Romania 800201

⁶ Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Medunsa 0204, South Africa

⁷ Department of Computer Engineering, Biruni University, 34010 Istanbul, Turkey

⁸ Mathematics Research Center, Near East University, 99138 Nicosia, Cyprus

⁹ Faculty of Arts and Sciences, University of Kyrenia, 99320 Kyrenia, Cyprus

¹⁰ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria 0008, South Africa

¹¹ Physics and Technology Faculty, University of Plovdiv “Paisii Hilendarski”, 24 Tsar Asen Street, 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

¹² Institute of Electronics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 72 Tzarigradsko Shossee, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

of gap solitons are revealed for the model in Bragg gratings. The soliton solutions are thus enumerated and the parameter restrictions that appear as constraints are also enumerated. The details of the integration scheme as well as the mathematical derivation procedure are presented in details and are exhibited in the rest of the paper.

Mathematical model

The expression of the concatenation model for polarization-preserving fibers can be summarized as follows:

$$i\psi_t + a\psi_{xx} + b|\psi|^2\psi + c_1 \left[\sigma_1\psi_{xxx} + \sigma_2(\psi_x)^2\psi^* + \sigma_3|\psi_x|^2\psi + \sigma_4|\psi|^2\psi_{xx} + \sigma_5\psi^2\psi_{xx}^* + \sigma_6|\psi|^4\psi \right] + ic_2 \left[\sigma_7\psi_{xxx} + \sigma_8|\psi|^2\psi_x + \sigma_9\psi^2\psi_x^* \right] = 0, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}, \tag{1}$$

In Equation (1), the variable $\psi(x, t)$ represents the complex wave profile, where x corresponds to the spatial component and t represents the temporal component. a represents the CD term, b and σ_6 represent the self-phase modulation (SPM) coefficients. Next, σ_1 and σ_7 are the coefficients of third-order dispersion (3OD) and the fourth-order dispersion (4OD), respectively. Finally, the coefficients $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_8$ and σ_9 imply the additional nonlinear effects, while the coefficients σ_4 and σ_5 give the nonlinear dispersive effects.

For fiber Bragg gratings, Eq. (1) is split into two separate components as:

$$iq_t + a_1r_{xx} + (b_{11}|q|^2 + b_{12}|r|^2)q + c_{11} \left\{ b_1r_{xxx} + [d_{11}(q_x)^2 + d_{12}(r_x)^2]q^* + [e_{11}|q_x|^2 + e_{12}|r_x|^2]q + [f_{11}|q|^2 + f_{12}|r|^2]q_{xx} + [g_{11}q^2 + g_{12}r^2]q_{xx}^* + [h_{11}|q|^4 + h_{12}|q|^2|r|^2 + h_{13}|r|^4]q \right\} + ic_{12} [c_1r_{xxx} + (k_{11}|q|^2 + k_{12}|r|^2)q_x + (l_{11}q^2 + l_{12}r^2)q_x^*] + i\alpha_1q_x + \beta_1r + \gamma_1q^*r^2 = 0, \tag{2}$$

and

$$ir_t + a_2q_{xx} + (b_{21}|r|^2 + b_{22}|q|^2)r + c_{21} \left\{ b_2q_{xxx} + [d_{21}(r_x)^2 + d_{22}(q_x)^2]r^* + [e_{21}|r_x|^2 + e_{22}|q_x|^2]r + [f_{21}|r|^2 + f_{22}|q|^2]r_{xx} + [g_{21}r^2 + g_{22}q^2]r_{xx}^* + [h_{21}|r|^4 + h_{22}|r|^2|q|^2 + h_{23}|q|^4]r \right\} + ic_{22} [c_2q_{xxx} + (k_{21}|r|^2 + k_{22}|q|^2)r_x + (l_{21}r^2 + l_{22}q^2)r_x^*] + i\alpha_2r_x + \beta_2q + \gamma_2r^*q^2 = 0. \tag{3}$$

The constraints $a_j, b_j, c_j, b_{j1}, b_{j2}, d_{j1}, d_{j2}, e_{j1}, e_{j2}, f_{j1}, f_{j2}, g_{j1}, g_{j2}, h_{j1}, h_{j2}, h_{j3}, k_{j1}, k_{j2}, l_{j1}, l_{j2}, \alpha_j, \beta_j$ and $\gamma_j, (j = 1, 2)$ are parameters, while, $q(x, t), r(x, t)$ are the complex wave profiles. Respectively, the coefficients a_j, b_j and c_j are CD, 3OD and 4OD terms along the two components. Next, b_{j1} and

h_{j1} are the SPM terms, while b_{j2}, h_{j2} and h_{j3} are the cross-phase modulation (XPM) effect. The coefficients α_j, β_j and γ_j give inter-modal dispersion (IMD), detuning parameter and four-wave mixing effect (4WM) for Kerr part of the nonlinearity, respectively. Finally, the coefficients d_{j1}, d_{j2}, e_{j1} and e_{j2} , give the nonlinear dispersive effects and the remaining coefficients give the effect of additional dispersions. The concatenation model integrates these three equations into a unified framework, offering a comprehensive and precise depiction of soliton behavior in Bragg grating fibers. This model proves valuable in various applications within fiber optic communication systems, where solitons play a crucial role in transmitting information over long distances while experiencing minimal distortion.

The primary objective of this article is to utilize a new mapping method in order to identify the dark, bright, and singular soliton solutions, as well as the combined bright-singular soliton solutions, for Eqs. (2) and (3).

The structure of this article can be outlined as follows: Section Preliminary analysis provides a mathematical analysis. Section New mapping method presents the solutions of Eqs. (2) and (3) utilizing the new mapping method. Finally, in Section Results and discussion, the conclusions are presented and discussed.

Preliminary analysis

In this section, we assume that the solutions for Eqs. (2) and (3) are given by:

$$q(x, t) = \varphi_1(\zeta) \exp [iZ(x, t)], \tag{4}$$

$$r(x, t) = \varphi_2(\zeta) \exp [iZ(x, t)],$$

and

$$\zeta = x - vt, \quad Z(x, t) = -\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0, \tag{5}$$

Assuming that v, κ, ω and θ_0 are all non-zero parameters, where v represents the soliton’s velocity, κ denotes its wave number, ω represents its frequency, and θ_0 is the phase constant, we have real functions $\varphi_1(\zeta), \varphi_2(\zeta)$ and $Z(x, t)$ that represent the amplitude and phase components of the soliton, respectively. By substituting Eqs. (4) and (5) into Eqs. (2) and (3) and separating their real and imaginary parts, we can infer that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_1 : & c_{11}b_1\varphi_2^{(4)} + (a_1 + 3c_{12}c_1\kappa - 6c_{11}b_1\kappa^2)\varphi_2'' \\ & + c_{11}[(d_{11} + e_{11})\varphi_1\varphi_1^2 + (d_{12} + e_{12})\varphi_1\varphi_2^2] \\ & + c_{11}[(f_{11} + g_{11})\varphi_1^2\varphi_1'' + (f_{12} + g_{12})\varphi_1''\varphi_2^2] + (\alpha_1\kappa - \omega)\varphi_1 \\ & + (\beta_1 - a_1\kappa^2 - c_{12}c_1\kappa^3 + c_{11}b_1\kappa^4)\varphi_2 \\ & + [b_{11} - c_{11}(d_{11} - e_{11} + f_{11} + g_{11})\kappa^2 + c_{12}(k_{11} - l_{11})\kappa]\varphi_1^3 \\ & + [\gamma_1 + b_{12} - c_{11}(d_{12} - e_{12} + f_{12} + g_{12})\kappa^2 + c_{12}(k_{12} - l_{12})\kappa]\varphi_1\varphi_2^2 \\ & + c_{11}[h_{11}\varphi_1^5 + h_{12}\varphi_1^3\varphi_2^2 + h_{13}\varphi_1\varphi_2^4] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_2 : & c_{21}b_2\varphi_1^{(4)} + (a_2 + 3c_{22}c_2\kappa - 6c_{21}b_2\kappa^2)\varphi_1'' \\ & + c_{21}[(d_{21} + e_{21})\varphi_2\varphi_2^2 + (d_{22} + e_{22})\varphi_2\varphi_1^2] \\ & + c_{21}[(f_{21} + g_{21})\varphi_2^2\varphi_1'' + (f_{22} + g_{22})\varphi_2''\varphi_1^2] + (\alpha_2\kappa - \omega)\varphi_2 \\ & + (\beta_2 - a_2\kappa^2 - c_{22}c_2\kappa^3 + c_{21}b_2\kappa^4)\varphi_1 \\ & + [b_{21} - c_{21}(d_{21} - e_{21} + f_{21} + g_{21})\kappa^2 + c_{22}(k_{21} - l_{21})\kappa]\varphi_2^3 \\ & + [\gamma_2 + b_{22} - c_{21}(d_{22} - e_{22} + f_{22} + g_{22})\kappa^2 + c_{22}(k_{22} - l_{22})\kappa]\varphi_2\varphi_1^2 \\ & + c_{21}[h_{21}\varphi_2^5 + h_{22}\varphi_2^3\varphi_1^2 + h_{23}\varphi_2\varphi_1^4] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Im_1 : & (c_{12}c_1 - 4c_{11}b_1\kappa)\varphi_2''' + [2c_{11}(g_{11} - d_{11} - f_{11})\kappa \\ & + c_{12}(k_{11} + l_{11})]\varphi_1^2\varphi_2' - 2c_{11}d_{12}\kappa\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_2' \\ & + [2c_{11}(g_{11} - f_{11})\kappa + c_{12}(k_{12} + l_{12})]\varphi_1'\varphi_2^2 + (\alpha_1 - v)\varphi_1' \\ & + (4c_{11}b_1\kappa^3 - 3c_{12}c_1\kappa^2 - 2a_1\kappa)\varphi_2' = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Im_2 : & (c_{22}c_2 - 4c_{21}b_2\kappa)\varphi_1''' + [2c_{21}(g_{21} - d_{21} - f_{21})\kappa \\ & + c_{22}(k_{21} + l_{21})]\varphi_2^2\varphi_1' - 2c_{21}d_{22}\kappa\varphi_2\varphi_1\varphi_1' \\ & + [2c_{21}(g_{21} - f_{21})\kappa + c_{22}(k_{22} + l_{22})]\varphi_2'\varphi_1^2 + (\alpha_2 - v)\varphi_2' \\ & + (4c_{21}b_2\kappa^3 - 3c_{22}c_2\kappa^2 - 2a_2\kappa)\varphi_1' = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Set

$$\varphi_2(\zeta) = \chi\varphi_1(\zeta), \tag{10}$$

provided $\chi \neq 0, 1$. Now, Eqs. (6)–(9) become

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_1 : & c_{11}b_1\chi\varphi_1^{(4)} + (a_1 + 3c_{12}c_1\kappa - 6c_{11}b_1\kappa^2)\chi\varphi_1'' \\ & + c_{11}[d_{11} + e_{11} + (d_{12} + e_{12})\chi^2]\varphi_1\varphi_1^2 \\ & + c_{11}[f_{11} + g_{11} + (f_{12} + g_{12})\chi^2]\varphi_1^2\varphi_1'' \\ & + [\alpha_1\kappa - \omega + (\beta_1 - a_1\kappa^2 - c_{12}c_1\kappa^3 + c_{11}b_1\kappa^4)\chi]\varphi_1 \\ & + \left\{ b_{11} - c_{11}(d_{11} - e_{11} + f_{11} + g_{11})\kappa^2 + c_{12}(k_{11} - l_{11})\kappa \right. \\ & + [\gamma_1 + b_{12} + c_{12}(k_{12} - l_{12})\kappa]\chi^2 \\ & \left. - c_{11}\kappa^2(d_{12} - e_{12} + f_{12} + g_{12})\chi^2 \right\}\varphi_1^3 \\ & + c_{11}[h_{11} + h_{12}\chi^2 + h_{13}\chi^4]\varphi_1^5 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_2 : & c_{21}b_2\varphi_1^{(4)} + (a_2 + 3c_{22}c_2\kappa - 6c_{21}b_2\kappa^2)\varphi_1'' \\ & + c_{21}[(d_{21} + e_{21})\chi^3 + (d_{22} + e_{22})\chi]\varphi_1\varphi_1^2 \\ & + c_{21}[(f_{21} + g_{21})\chi^3 + (f_{22} + g_{22})\chi]\varphi_1^2\varphi_1'' \\ & + [(\alpha_2\kappa - \omega)\chi + \beta_2 - a_2\kappa^2 - c_{22}c_2\kappa^3 + c_{21}b_2\kappa^4]\varphi_1 \\ & + \left\{ [b_{21} - c_{21}(d_{21} - e_{21} + f_{21} + g_{21})\kappa^2 + c_{22}(k_{21} - l_{21})\kappa]\chi^3 \right. \\ & + [\gamma_2 + b_{22} + c_{22}(k_{22} - l_{22})\kappa]\chi \\ & \left. - c_{21}\chi\kappa^2(d_{22} - e_{22} + f_{22} + g_{22}) \right\}\varphi_1^3 \\ & + c_{21}[h_{21}\chi^5 + h_{22}\chi^3 + h_{23}\chi]\varphi_1^5 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Im_1 : & (c_{12}c_1 - 4c_{11}b_1\kappa)\chi\varphi_1''' \\ & + [\alpha_1 - v + (4c_{11}b_1\kappa^3 - 3c_{12}c_1\kappa^2 - 2a_1\kappa)\chi]\varphi_1' \\ & + \{2c_{11}(g_{11} - d_{11} - f_{11})\kappa + c_{12}(k_{11} + l_{11}) \\ & + [2c_{11}(g_{11} - f_{11})\kappa + c_{12}(k_{12} + l_{12}) - 2c_{11}d_{12}\kappa]\chi^2\}\varphi_1'\varphi_1^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Im_2 : & (c_{22}c_2 - 4c_{21}b_2\kappa)\varphi_1''' + [(\alpha_2 - v)\chi + 4c_{21}b_2\kappa^3 \\ & - 3c_{22}c_2\kappa^2 - 2a_2\kappa]\varphi_1' \\ & + \{[2c_{21}(g_{21} - d_{21} - f_{21})\kappa + c_{22}(k_{21} + l_{21})]\chi^2 \\ & + 2c_{21}(g_{21} - f_{21})\kappa + c_{22}(k_{22} + l_{22}) - 2c_{21}d_{22}\kappa\}\chi\varphi_1'\varphi_1^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By equating the coefficients of the linearly independent functions in Eqs. (13) and (14) to zero, we obtain:

$$\kappa = \frac{c_j c_j}{4c_{j1} b_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \alpha_1 + (4c_{11}b_1\kappa^3 - 3c_{12}c_1\kappa^2 - 2a_1\kappa)\chi, \\ v &= \frac{\alpha_2\chi + 4c_{21}b_2\kappa^3 - 3c_{22}c_2\kappa^2 - 2a_2\kappa}{\chi}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2c_{11}(g_{11} - d_{11} - f_{11})\kappa + c_{12}(k_{11} + l_{11}) \\
 &+ [2c_{11}(g_{11} - f_{11})\kappa + c_{12}(k_{12} + l_{12}) - 2c_{11}d_{12}\kappa]\chi^2 = 0, \\
 &[2c_{21}(g_{21} - d_{21} - f_{21})\kappa + c_{22}(k_{21} + l_{21})]\chi^2 \\
 &+ 2c_{21}(g_{21} - f_{21})\kappa + c_{22}(k_{22} + l_{22}) - 2c_{21}d_{22}\kappa = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

Equations (11) and (12) exhibit identical forms, under the following constraint conditions:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 &c_{11}b_1\chi = c_{21}b_2, \\
 &(a_1 + 3c_{12}c_1\kappa - 6c_{11}b_1\kappa^2)\chi = a_2 + 3c_{22}c_2\kappa - 6c_{21}b_2\kappa^2, \\
 &c_{11}[d_{11} + e_{11} + (d_{12} + e_{12})\chi^2] = c_{21}[(d_{21} + e_{21})\chi^3 + (d_{22} + e_{22})\chi], \\
 &c_{11}[f_{11} + g_{11} + (f_{12} + g_{12})\chi^2] \\
 &= c_{21}[(f_{21} + g_{21})\chi^3 + (f_{22} + g_{22})\chi], \\
 &\alpha_1\kappa - \omega + (\beta_1 - a_1\kappa^2 - c_{12}c_1\kappa^3 + c_{11}b_1\kappa^4)\chi = (\alpha_2\kappa - \omega)\chi \\
 &+ \beta_2 - a_2\kappa^2 - c_{22}c_2\kappa^3 + c_{21}b_2\kappa^4, \\
 &b_{11} - c_{11}(d_{11} - e_{11} + f_{11} + g_{11})\kappa^2 + c_{12}(k_{11} - l_{11})\kappa \\
 &+ [\gamma_1 + b_{12} + c_{12}(k_{12} - l_{12})\kappa]\chi^2 \\
 &- c_{11}\kappa^2(d_{12} - e_{12} + f_{12} + g_{12})\chi^2 = [b_{21} \\
 &- c_{21}(d_{21} - e_{21} + f_{21} + g_{21})\kappa^2 + c_{22}(k_{21} - l_{21})\kappa]\chi^3 \\
 &+ [\gamma_2 + b_{22} + c_{22}(k_{22} - l_{22})\kappa]\chi - c_{21}\chi\kappa^2(d_{22} - e_{22} + f_{22} + g_{22}), \\
 &c_{11}[h_{11} + h_{12}\chi^2 + h_{13}\chi^4] = c_{21}[h_{21}\chi^5 + h_{22}\chi^3 + h_{23}\chi].
 \end{aligned} \right\}
 \tag{18}$$

From (15)–(18), one derives the following:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 &\omega = \frac{(3c_{12}c_1\kappa^3 - 4c_{11}b_1\kappa^4 + 2a_1\kappa^2)\chi^2 + (\beta_1 - 3c_{12}c_1\kappa^3 + 4c_{11}b_1\kappa^4 - \alpha_1\kappa - 2a_1\kappa^2)\chi - \beta_2 + \alpha_1\kappa}{\chi - 1}, \quad c_{22} = \frac{c_1c_{12}\chi}{c_2}, \\
 &\alpha_2 = 4c_{11}b_1(\chi - 1)\kappa^3 - 3c_{12}c_1(\chi - 1)\kappa^2 - 2a_1(\chi - 1)\kappa + \alpha_1, \quad a_2 = \chi a_1, \quad b_2 = \frac{c_{11}b_1\chi}{c_{21}}, \\
 &b_{22} = \frac{\{[2c_{11}(f_{11} - g_{11})\kappa^2 - 2c_{12}\kappa l_{12} + b_{12} + \gamma_1]\chi^2 - [2c_{21}(f_{21} - g_{21})\kappa^2 + b_{21}]\chi^3 - [2c_{21}(f_{21} - g_{21})\kappa^2 + \gamma_2]\chi\}c_2}{\chi c_2} \\
 &+ \frac{[2c_{11}(f_{11} - g_{11})\kappa^2 - 2c_{12}\kappa l_{11} + b_{11}]\chi^2 + 2\chi^2\kappa c_1c_{12}(l_{21}\chi^2 + l_{22})}{\chi c_2}, \\
 &d_{22} = \frac{-c_{21}(d_{21} + e_{21})\chi^3 + c_{11}(d_{12} + e_{12})\chi^2 - c_{21}\chi e_{22} + c_{11}(d_{11} + e_{11})}{c_{21}\chi}, \\
 &f_{22} = \frac{-c_{21}(f_{21} + g_{21})\chi^3 + c_{11}(f_{12} + g_{12})\chi^2 - c_{21}\chi g_{22} + c_{11}(f_{11} + g_{11})}{c_{21}\chi}, \\
 &h_{22} = \frac{c_{11}h_{11} + c_{11}h_{12}\chi^2 + c_{11}h_{13}\chi^4 - c_{21}h_{21}\chi^5 - c_{21}h_{23}\chi}{c_{21}\chi^3}, \\
 &k_{12} = \frac{2((-g_{11} + f_{11} + d_{12})\chi^2 - g_{11} + d_{11} + f_{11})\kappa c_{11} - c_{12}(k_{11} + \chi^2 l_{12} + l_{11})}{\chi^2 c_{12}}, \\
 &k_{22} = \frac{2\kappa c_{11}c_2(d_{11} + e_{11}) - c_1c_{12}(k_{21} + l_{21})\chi^4 - 2\kappa c_{21}c_2(-f_{21} + g_{21} + e_{21})\chi^3 + (2c_{11}\kappa(d_{12} + e_{12})c_2 - c_1c_{12}l_{22})\chi^2 - 2\kappa c_{21}c_2(g_{21} - f_{21} + e_{22})\chi}{\chi^2 c_1c_{12}}.
 \end{aligned} \right\}
 \tag{19}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \frac{a_1+3c_{12}c_1\kappa-6c_{11}b_1\kappa^2}{c_{11}b_1}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{d_{11}+e_{11}+(d_{12}+e_{12})\chi^2}{b_1\chi}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \frac{f_{11}+g_{11}+(f_{12}+g_{12})\chi^2}{b_1\chi}, \quad \Delta_4 = \frac{\alpha_1\kappa-\omega+(\beta_1-a_1\kappa^2-c_{12}c_1\kappa^3+c_{11}b_1\kappa^4)\chi}{c_{11}b_1\chi}, \\ \Delta_5 &= \frac{b_{11}-c_{11}(d_{11}-e_{11}+f_{11}+g_{11})\kappa^2+c_{12}(k_{11}-l_{11})\kappa+[\gamma_1+b_{12}+c_{12}(k_{12}-l_{12})\kappa]\chi^2-c_{11}\kappa^2(d_{12}-e_{12}+f_{12}+g_{12})\chi^2}{c_{11}b_1\chi}, \\ \Delta_6 &= \frac{h_{11}+h_{12}\chi^2+h_{13}\chi^4}{b_1\chi}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (21)$$

In the upcoming section, we will employ the following approach to solve equation (20).

New mapping method

We make the assumption that Eq. (20) possesses the following formal solution:

$$\varphi_1(\zeta) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} \delta_m \Psi^m(\zeta). \quad (22)$$

In this context, $\Psi(\zeta)$ is subject to the following first-order ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$\Psi'^2(\zeta) = r + p\Psi^2(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}h\Psi^4(\zeta) + \frac{1}{3}s\Psi^6(\zeta). \quad (23)$$

The arbitrary constants δ_m (where m ranges from 0 to $2N$), $r, p, h,$ and s need to be determined, with the condition that $\delta_{2N} \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$. It is widely recognized that the solutions of Eq. (23) take on the following forms:

Form-1: Assuming that $s = \frac{3h^2}{16p}, r = \frac{16p^2}{27h}$, Eq. (23) exhibits the following soliton solutions:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = 4 \sqrt{\frac{-p \tanh^2\left(\epsilon \sqrt{-\frac{p}{3}} \zeta\right)}{3h\left[3 + \tanh^2\left(\epsilon \sqrt{-\frac{p}{3}} \zeta\right)\right]}}, p < 0, h > 0, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\Psi(\zeta) = 4 \sqrt{\frac{-p \coth^2\left(\epsilon \sqrt{-\frac{p}{3}} \zeta\right)}{3h\left[3 + \coth^2\left(\epsilon \sqrt{-\frac{p}{3}} \zeta\right)\right]}}, p < 0, h > 0, \quad (25)$$

Form-2: Assuming that $s = \frac{3h^2}{16p}, r = 0$, Eq. (23) exhibits, respectively the dark and singular soliton solutions:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{-2p}{h} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\epsilon \sqrt{p} \zeta\right)\right]}, p > 0, h < 0. \quad (26)$$

and

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{-2p}{h} \left[1 + \coth\left(\epsilon \sqrt{p} \zeta\right)\right]}, p > 0, h < 0. \quad (27)$$

Form-3: Assuming that $r = 0$, Eq. (23) exhibits the following solutions:

(I): Soliton solutions:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{-6ph \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)}{3h^2 - 4ps\left[1 + \epsilon \tanh\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)\right]^2}}, p > 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{6pq_2 \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)}{3h^2 - 4ps\left[1 + \epsilon \coth\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)\right]^2}}, p > 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{-6p \operatorname{sech}^2\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)}{3h + 4\epsilon \sqrt{3ps} \tanh\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)}}, p > 0, s > 0, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{6p \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)}{3h + 4\epsilon \sqrt{3ps} \coth\left(\sqrt{p} \zeta\right)}}, p > 0, s > 0. \quad (31)$$

(II): Bright solitons:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{3p}{\epsilon \sqrt{9h^2 - 48ps} \cosh\left(2\sqrt{p} \zeta\right) - 3h}}, p > 0, 9h^2 - 48ps > 0. \quad (32)$$

(III): Singular solitons:

$$\Psi(\zeta) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{3p}{\epsilon \sqrt{-(9h^2 - 48ps)} \sinh(2\sqrt{p}\zeta) - 3h}}, \quad (33)$$

$p > 0, 9h^2 - 48ps < 0.$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1.$

With this aim, we first balance $\varphi_1^{(4)}$ and φ_1^5 in Eq. (20), one deduces the balance number $N = 1.$ Consequently, Eq. (20) reveals the formal solution:

$$\varphi_1(\zeta) = \delta_0 + \delta_1 \Psi(\zeta) + \delta_2 \Psi^2(\zeta), \quad (34)$$

where the constants δ_0, δ_1 and δ_2 need to be determined, assuming that $\delta_2 \neq 0.$ By substituting (34) and (23) into Eq. (20), the result is a set of algebraic equations as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &4\Delta_2\delta_2^3s + 8\Delta_3\delta_2^3s + 128\delta_2s^2 + 3\Delta_6\delta_2^5 = 0, \\ &16\Delta_3\delta_2^2\delta_0s + 6\Delta_2\delta_2^3h + 15\Delta_6\delta_0\delta_2^4 + 9\Delta_3\delta_2^3h \\ &\quad + 240\delta_2hs + 4\Delta_2\delta_2^2\delta_0s = 0, \\ &3\Delta_5\delta_2^3 + 12\Delta_2\delta_2^3p + 320\delta_2ps + 6\Delta_2\delta_2^2\delta_0h \\ &\quad + 12\Delta_3\delta_2^3p + 8\Delta_1\delta_2s + 90\delta_2h^2 \\ &\quad + 18\Delta_3\delta_2^2\delta_0h + 30\Delta_6\delta_0^2\delta_2^3 \\ &\quad + 8\Delta_3\delta_2\delta_0^2s = 0, \\ &8\Delta_3\delta_2^2\delta_0p + 4\Delta_2\delta_2^2\delta_0p + 2\Delta_3\delta_2^3r + 60\delta_2ph \\ &\quad + 4\Delta_2\delta_2^3r + 10\Delta_6\delta_0^3\delta_2^2 \\ &\quad + 3\Delta_1\delta_2h + 80\delta_2rs + 3\Delta_3\delta_2\delta_0^2h + 3\Delta_5\delta_0\delta_2^2 = 0, \\ &4\Delta_3\delta_2\delta_0^2p + \Delta_4\delta_2 + 36\delta_2rh + 4\Delta_3\delta_2^2\delta_0r \\ &\quad + 16\delta_2p^2 + 4\Delta_1\delta_2p \\ &\quad + 4\Delta_2\delta_2^2\delta_0r + 5\Delta_6\delta_0^4\delta_2 + 3\Delta_5\delta_0^2\delta_2 = 0, \\ &+ 2\Delta_3\delta_2\delta_0^2r + \Delta_4\delta_0 + \Delta_5\delta_0^3 + \Delta_6\delta_0^5 \\ &\quad + 8\delta_2rp + 2\Delta_1\delta_2r = 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (35)$$

According to the used method, one arrives at the following solutions for Eqs. (2) and (3):

Set-1: By assigning the values $s = \frac{3h^2}{16p}$ and $r = \frac{16p^2}{27h}$ to the algebraic equations obtained in (35), and utilizing the Maple software to solve them, the following outcomes are obtained:

$$\delta_0 = \epsilon\Delta_1 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3}}, \quad \delta_1 = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\delta_2 = \epsilon h \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3}}, \quad p = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1, \quad h = h,$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{2\Delta_1\Delta_3 - 5\Delta_5}{\Delta_1}, \quad \Delta_4 = \frac{5\Delta_1^2\Delta_5}{18(\Delta_1\Delta_3 - 2\Delta_5)},$$

$$\Delta_6 = \frac{\Delta_5(\Delta_1\Delta_3 - 2\Delta_5)}{2\Delta_1^2},$$

provided $\epsilon = \pm 1, 2\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3 > 0,$ and $\Delta_1 \neq 0.$ (37)

By substituting (36) into (34) and employing (24) and (25), the bright soliton solutions of Eqs. (2) and (3) can be derived as follows:


$$q(x, t) = \pm \Delta_1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{3(2\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3)}} \left[1 + \frac{6}{1 + 2\epsilon \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\Delta_1}{3}}(x - vt)\right)} \right] e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \quad (38)$$

$$r(x, t) = \pm \chi \Delta_1 \sqrt{\frac{1}{3(2\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3)}} \left[1 + \frac{6}{1 + 2\epsilon \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\Delta_1}{3}}(x - vt)\right)} \right] e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)},$$


provided $\Delta_1 > 0,$ and $2\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3 > 0.$ (39)

The solutions (38) and (39) meet the requirements specified by the constraint conditions (37).


Figure 1 and 2 represent the surface plot, contour plot and 2D plot of bright soliton (38). The parameter values that have been chosen are:




(a) 3D plot




(a) 3D plot




(b) Contour plot



(b) Contour plot



(c) 2D plots moving in time



(c) 2D plots moving in time

Fig. 1 Profile of a bright soliton solution

Fig. 2 Profile of a dark soliton solution

Set-2: By assigning the values $s = \frac{3h^2}{16p}$ and $r = 0$ to the algebraic equations obtained in (35), and utilizing the Maple software to solve them, the following outcomes are obtained:

$$\delta_0 = -2\epsilon\Delta_1\sqrt{-\frac{1}{10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3}}, \delta_1 = 0, \tag{40}$$

$$\delta_2 = 10eh\sqrt{-\frac{1}{10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3}}, p = -\frac{1}{20}\Delta_1, h = h,$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = -\frac{3(\Delta_1\Delta_3 + 5\Delta_5)}{\Delta_1}, \Delta_4 = \frac{4}{25}\Delta_1^2,$$

$$\Delta_6 = \frac{150\Delta_5^2 + (5\Delta_5 - \Delta_1\Delta_3)\Delta_1\Delta_3}{100\Delta_1^2},$$

provided $\epsilon = \pm 1, 10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3 < 0,$ and $\Delta_1 \neq 0.$ (41)

By substituting (40) into (34) and employing (26) and (27), the dark and singular soliton solutions of Eqs. (2) and (3) can be derived as:

$$q(x, t) = \epsilon\Delta_1\sqrt{-\frac{1}{10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3}} \left[1 - \tanh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{20}}(x - vt)\right) \right] e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{42}$$

$$r(x, t) = \epsilon\chi\Delta_1\sqrt{-\frac{1}{10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3}} \left[1 - \tanh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{20}}(x - vt)\right) \right] e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{43}$$

and

$$q(x, t) = \epsilon\Delta_1\sqrt{-\frac{1}{10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3}} \left[1 - \coth\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{20}}(x - vt)\right) \right] e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{44}$$

$$r(x, t) = \epsilon\chi\Delta_1\sqrt{-\frac{1}{10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3}} \left[1 - \coth\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{20}}(x - vt)\right) \right] e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)},$$

respectively, provided $10\Delta_5 + \Delta_1\Delta_3 < 0,$ and $\Delta_1 < 0.$ (45)

The solutions (42)–(45) meet the requirements specified by the constraint conditions (41).

Set-3: By assigning the value $r = 0$ to the algebraic equations obtained in (35), and utilizing the Maple software to solve them, the following results are revealed:

$$\delta_0 = 0, \delta_1 = 0, \delta_2 = \frac{30eh}{\sqrt{(4\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5}}, \tag{46}$$

$$p = -\frac{1}{20}\Delta_1, s = -\frac{45h^2(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)}{(4\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5}, h = h,$$

and

$$\Delta_4 = \frac{4}{25}\Delta_1^2, \Delta_6 = \frac{1}{300}(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)(\Delta_2 + 4\Delta_3),$$

provided $\epsilon = \pm 1,$ and $(4\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5 > 0.$ (47)

By substituting (46) into (34) and employing (28)–(33), the following solutions of Eqs. (2) and (3) can be derived as follows:

(I) Bright–Singular straddled solitons:

$$q(x, t) = \frac{\pm 12\Delta_1\sqrt{(4\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5}}{[(2\Delta_2 - 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 60\Delta_5] \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x - vt)\right) + \epsilon \right] - 3\Delta_1(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3) \left[\sinh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x - vt)\right) - \epsilon \right]} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{48}$$

$$r(x, t) = \frac{\pm 12\chi\Delta_1\sqrt{3\Delta_3\Delta_1 + 4\Delta_1\Delta_2 - 30\Delta_5}}{[(-3\Delta_3 + 2\Delta_2)\Delta_1 - 60\Delta_5] \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x - vt)\right) + \epsilon \right] - 3\Delta_1(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3) \left[\sinh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x - vt)\right) - \epsilon \right]} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{49}$$

for $(4\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5 > 0, \Delta_1(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3) > 0;$ and $\Delta_1 < 0,$

$$q(x, t) = \frac{\pm 6\Delta_1}{\sqrt{(3\Delta_3 + 4\Delta_2)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5} \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x - vt)\right) + \epsilon \right] + \sqrt{3\Delta_1(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)} \sinh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x - vt)\right)} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{50}$$

$$r(x, t) = \frac{\pm 6\chi\Delta_1}{\sqrt{(3\Delta_3+4\Delta_2)\Delta_1-30\Delta_5} \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x-vt)\right) + \epsilon \right] + \sqrt{3\Delta_1(2\Delta_2+3\Delta_3)} \sinh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x-vt)\right)} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{51}$$

whenever $(4\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3)\Delta_1 - 30\Delta_5 > 0$, $\Delta_1(2\Delta_2 + 3\Delta_3) > 0$, and $\Delta_1 < 0$.

(II) Bright solitons:

$$q(x, t) = \frac{\pm 6\Delta_1}{\sqrt{-2[(3\Delta_3+\Delta_2)\Delta_1+15\Delta_5]} \cosh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x-vt)\right) - \sqrt{(3\Delta_3+4\Delta_2)\Delta_1-30\Delta_5}} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{52}$$

$$r(x, t) = \frac{\pm 6\chi\Delta_1}{\sqrt{-2[(3\Delta_3+\Delta_2)\Delta_1+15\Delta_5]} \cosh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x-vt)\right) - \sqrt{(3\Delta_3+4\Delta_2)\Delta_1-30\Delta_5}} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{53}$$

provided $(3\Delta_3 + \Delta_2)\Delta_1 + 15\Delta_5 < 0$, and $\Delta_1 < 0$.

(III) Singular solitons:

$$q(x, t) = \frac{\pm 6\Delta_1}{\sqrt{2[(3\Delta_3+\Delta_2)\Delta_1+15\Delta_5]} \sinh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x-vt)\right) - \sqrt{(3\Delta_3+4\Delta_2)\Delta_1-30\Delta_5}} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{54}$$

$$r(x, t) = \frac{\pm 6\chi\Delta_1}{\sqrt{2[(3\Delta_3+\Delta_2)\Delta_1+15\Delta_5]} \sinh\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\Delta_1}{5}}(x-vt)\right) - \sqrt{(3\Delta_3+4\Delta_2)\Delta_1-30\Delta_5}} e^{i(-\kappa x + \omega t + \theta_0)}, \tag{55}$$

as long as $(3\Delta_3 + \Delta_2)\Delta_1 + 15\Delta_5 > 0$ and $\Delta_1 < 0$.

The solutions (48)–(55) meet the requirements specified by the constraint conditions (47).

Results and discussion

Figure 1 depicts the evolution of a bright soliton solution $q(x, t)$, characterized by the complex-valued solution (38), through surface plots, contour plots, and 2D plots. The soliton dynamics are analyzed over a temporal range from $t = 2$ to $t = 3$, with intervals of 0.2. The parameters governing the system are set to specific values: $\chi = 2$, $\epsilon = 1.1$, $\gamma_1 = 3.2$, $\alpha_1 = 1.3$, $\alpha_2 = 2.4$, $a_1 = 0.5$, $a_2 = 1.6$, $b_1 = 0.7$, $b_2 = 1.8$, $c_1 = 1.9$, $c_2 = 2$, $b_{11} = 2.1$, $b_{12} = 2.2$, $c_{11} = 0.3$, $c_{12} = 2.4$, $d_{11} = 0.5$, $d_{12} = 2.6$, $e_{11} = 2.7$, $e_{12} = 2.8$, $f_{11} = 0.9$, $f_{12} = -1.1$, $g_{11} = -1.2$, $g_{12} = -1.3$, $k_{11} = -2.4$, $k_{12} = -0.5$, $l_{11} = -2.6$, $l_{12} = -0.7$, $c_{21} = -3.8$, and $c_{22} = -0.9$. The surface plot illustrates the spatial distribution of the soliton solution $q(x, t)$ over the domain x and t , revealing the propagation and behavior of the

soliton. The contour plot provides further insight into the soliton’s profile, highlighting regions of different intensity. Additionally, the 2D plot offers a concise representation of the soliton’s evolution at specific time points. The observed evolution of the bright soliton solution demonstrates characteristic features such as wavepacket localization and preservation of shape over time. As time progresses, the soliton maintains its structure while propagating through the medium. The interplay of the system parameters influences the soliton’s behavior, including its speed and stability. The prescribed parameter values contribute to the observed dynamics, with each parameter exerting specific effects on the soliton’s evolution. Figure 2 presents the evolution of a dark soliton solution $q(x, t)$, described by the complex-valued solution (42), through surface plots, contour plots, and 2D plots. Similar to Fig. 1, the temporal evolution is analyzed over the interval from $t = 2$ to $t = 3$, with increments of 0.2. The same set of parameters as in Fig. 1 is employed to govern the system dynamics. The surface plot, contour plot, and 2D plot in Fig. 2 provide visual representations of the dark soliton’s behavior and

evolution. The surface plot captures the spatiotemporal dynamics of the soliton, highlighting its characteristic depression within the medium. The contour plot offers detailed information on the soliton's intensity profile, while the 2D plot succinctly illustrates its evolution at discrete time points. The dark soliton solution exhibits distinct features compared to its bright counterpart, characterized by a localized intensity minimum within the medium. As time progresses, the dark soliton maintains its shape and travels through the medium, albeit with different dynamics influenced by the system parameters. The specific parameter values chosen for this analysis play a crucial role in shaping the dark soliton's evolution, influencing its speed, depth, and stability. As a result, Figs. 1 and 2 provide valuable insights into the evolution of bright and dark soliton solutions, respectively, under the specified parameter regime. The visualizations offer a comprehensive understanding of the soliton dynamics and highlight the influence of system parameters on their behavior. These findings contribute to the broader understanding of soliton phenomena and their potential applications in various physical systems.

Conclusions

The current paper has moved the literature of the concatenation model one step forward. The model has been applied to Bragg gratings that led to the emergence of the gap solitons. A full spectrum has been recovered. The new mapping method has made the revelation possible and a reality. Thus, the crisis of low CD has been overcome for the concatenation model with the introduction of Bragg gratings. Hence, another chapter from this concatenation model is now complete. It is now time to venture into additional aspects of the model. Several issues are yet to be explored. These range from the control of Internet bottleneck effects, a study of the model in dispersion flattened fibers, moving over to dispersive concatenation model and their corresponding follow-up concepts. Therefore, a plethora of grounds are yet to be covered. The results of such promising projects are sequentially going to be disseminated across a wide range of outlets after getting these results aligned with the pre-existing works [5].

Declarations

Competing interests The authors claim there us no competing interests in this work.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long

as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. A. Ankiewicz, N. Akhmediev, Higher-order integrable evolution equation and its soliton solutions. *Phys. Lett. A* **378**, 358–361 (2014)
2. A. Ankiewicz, Y. Wang, S. Wabnitz, N. Akhmediev, Extended nonlinear Schrödinger equation with higher-order odd and even terms and its rogue wave solutions. *Phys. Rev. E* **89**, 012907 (2014)
3. A. Biswas, J.M. Vega-Guzman, Y. Yildirim, S.P. Moshokoa, M. Aphane, A.A. Alghamdi, Optical solitons for the concatenation model with power-law nonlinearity: undetermined coefficients. *Ukr. J. Phys. Opt.* **24**(3), 185–192 (2023)
4. A. Kukkar, S. Kumar, S. Malik, A. Biswas, Y. Yildirim, S.P. Moshokoa, S. Khan, A.A. Alghamdi, Optical solitons for the concatenation model with Kudryashov's approaches. *Ukr. J. Phys. Opt.* **24**(1), 155–160 (2023)
5. J. Atai, B.A. Malomed, Gap solitons in Bragg gratings with dispersive reflectivity. *Phys. Lett. A* **342**(5–6), 404–412 (2005)
6. E.M. Zayed, M.E. Alngar, R.M. Shohib, A. Biswas, Y. Yildirim, L. Moraru, S. Moldovanu, P.L. Georgescu, Dispersive optical solitons with differential group delay having multiplicative white noise by Ito calculus. *Electronics* **12**(3), 634 (2023)
7. A.H. Arnous, A. Biswas, A.H. Kara, Y. Yildirim, L. Moraru, S. Moldovanu, P.L. Georgescu, A.A. Alghamdi, Dispersive optical solitons and conservation laws of Radhakrishnan–Kundu–Lakshmanan equation with dual-power law nonlinearity. *Heliyon* **9**(3), e14036 (2023)
8. E.M. Zayed, M. El-Horbaty, M.E. Alngar, R.M. Shohib, A. Biswas, Y. Yildirim, L. Moraru, C. Iticescu, D. Bibicu, P.L. Georgescu, A. Asiri, Dynamical system of optical soliton parameters by variational principle (super-Gaussian and super-sech pulses). *J. Eur. Opt. Soc. Rapid Publ.* **19**(2), 38 (2023)
9. M.A. Shohib Reham, E.M. Alngar Mohamed, B. Anjan, Y. Yakup, T. Houria, M. Luminita, I. Catalina, G.P. Lucian, A. Asim, Optical solitons in magneto-optic waveguides for the concatenation model. *Ukr. J. Phys. Opt.* **24**, 248–261 (2023)
10. A.H. Arnous, B. Anjan, Y. Yakup, M. Luminita, I. Catalina, G.P. Lucian, A. Asim, Optical solitons and complexitons for the concatenation model in birefringent fibers. *Ukr. J. Phys. Opt.* **24**, 04060–04086 (2023)
11. E.M.E. Zayed, M.E.M. Alngar, R.M.A. Shohib, A. Biswas, Y. Yildirim, L. Moraru, P.L. Georgescu, C. Iticescu, A. Asiri, Highly dispersive solitons in optical couplers with metamaterials having Kerr law of nonlinear refractive index. *Ukr. J. Phys. Opt.* **25**, 01001–01019 (2024)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.