

TUTDoR

Primitive shifts on ψ -spaces.

Item Type	Article
Authors	Gutek, A.;Moshokoa, S.P.;Rajagopalan, M.;Sundaresan, K.
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.05.042
Publisher	Elsevier
Rights	Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International
Download date	2025-05-21 08:37:40
Item License	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14519/1103



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

Primitive shifts on ψ -spacesA. Gutek^{a,1}, S.P. Moshokoa^{b,*}, M. Rajagopalan^c, K. Sundaresan^d^a Tennessee Technological University, Cookeville, TN 38505, United States^b University of South Africa, PO Box 392, Pretoria 0003, South Africa^c Tennessee State University, Nashville, TN 37203, United States^d Cleveland State University, Cleveland, OH 44115, United States

ARTICLE INFO

MSC:
54C35
47B38

Keywords:

Isometric shift
Primitive shift
Maximal almost disjoint family
 ψ -space
 ψ^* -space

ABSTRACT

Let D be a countable discrete space and let $p \in D$. For any primitive shift $\sigma : D \rightarrow D \setminus \{p\}$ there are 2^c σ -invariant maximal almost disjoint families on D . This implies that there are 2^c pairwise non-homeomorphic Ψ^* spaces admitting primitive shifts. Under $\alpha = c$ there exists a maximal almost disjoint family \mathcal{F} on a countable discrete space D such that the spaces $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ and $\Psi^*(\mathcal{F})$ admit no primitive shift.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

R.M. Crownover [1] was the first to give a basis free definition of a shift operator on a general Banach space. Holub [7] considered isometric shift operators.

Definition 1.1. A linear operator T on a Banach space E is called a shift operator if

- (i) T is an isometry;
- (ii) the range $T(E)$ has co-dimension 1;
- (iii) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(E) = \{0\}$.

In [4] it is shown that a shift operator on a Banach space $C(X)$ of continuous (real- or complex-valued) functions on a compact space X can be represented in terms of functions on X . When the compact space X has a dense countable set of isolated points, $C(X)$ may not have a shift (see page 12 of [8]) or can have a rather simple representation as in the theorem below (see Theorem 2.4 of [4]).

Theorem 1.2. Let p be an isolated point of a compact Hausdorff space X and $\sigma : X \rightarrow X \setminus \{p\}$ be a homeomorphism. If the orbit $\{\sigma^{-n}(p) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is dense in X then the operator $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ assigning to each function $f \in C(X)$ the function $T(f)$ defined by

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: agutek@tntech.edu (A. Gutek), moshosp@unisa.ac.za (S.P. Moshokoa), mrajagopalan@tnstate.edu (M. Rajagopalan), S.KONDAGUNTA@csuohio.edu (K. Sundaresan).

¹ This research was partially supported by a TTU Faculty Research Grant.

$$Tf(x) = f(\sigma(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } x \neq p,$$

$$Tf(p) = 0$$

is a shift operator on a Banach space $C(X)$.

Definition 1.3. A closed embedding $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ of a topological space X such that $X \setminus \sigma(X) = \{p\}$ is a singleton whose orbit $\{\sigma^{-n}(p) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is dense in X is called a primitive shift on X .

Primitive shift is defined for compact spaces X in a different but equivalent way in [6] and [5].

Note that the point p is isolated, the orbit $\{\sigma^{-n}(p) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of p is the set of all isolated points of X , σ is uniquely determined by its values on the set D of all isolated points of X , and the restriction of σ to the set D is a primitive shift on D .

2. Main results

The sets A and B are called *almost equal* if $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ is finite. The sets A and B are called *almost disjoint* if $A \cap B$ is finite.

Let D be a countable set with discrete topology. A family \mathcal{F} of infinite subsets of D is called *almost disjoint family* if every pair of elements of \mathcal{F} is almost disjoint. The almost disjoint family \mathcal{F} is called a *MAD family* or *maximal almost disjoint family* if it is maximal (in terms of inclusion) with respect to being almost disjoint.

Let \mathcal{F} be an infinite almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of D . Let $X = D \cup \mathcal{F}$. We give a topology on X as follows: Each point of D is isolated in X . If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then treat A also as a subset of D . A neighborhood base of A (treated as a point of X) is a collection of sets of the form $\{A\} \cup B$ where $B \subseteq A$ and $A \setminus B$ is finite. The space $D \cup \mathcal{F}$ is called *Ψ -like* if \mathcal{F} is uncountable. A Ψ -like space is locally compact and not metrizable. Its one point compactification is called a *Ψ^* -like space*. If \mathcal{F} is a MAD family then $D \cup \mathcal{F}$ is called a *Ψ -space* (see [3]) and its one point compactification is called a *Ψ^* -space*. We will use $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ and $\Psi^*(\mathcal{F})$ respectively to denote these two spaces.

Let σ be a primitive shift on a countable discrete space D and let \mathcal{F} be an almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of D . We say that \mathcal{F} is *σ -invariant* if for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ the sets $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(A)$ are elements of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2.1. Let σ be a primitive shift on a countable discrete space D . Then there is an infinite almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of D that is σ -invariant. Furthermore, any such family is contained in a maximal almost disjoint family that is σ -invariant.

Proof. The primitive shift defines a well order on D by $a < b$ if $\sigma^n(b) = a$ for some positive integer n . Let A be an infinite subset of D , say $A = \{a_n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Define set B as follows. We take a_1 as the first point in B . The next point is the least point a_k in A satisfying the conditions $a_1 < a_k$ and $a_1 = \sigma^j(a_k)$ for $j > 2$. We call this point a_{i_2} and the number j the distance between the two points.

Suppose that we have chosen the n -th point, a_{i_n} . We chose $a_{i_{n+1}}$ to be the least point in A satisfying $a_{i_n} < a_{i_{n+1}}$ and such that the distance between a_{i_n} and $a_{i_{n+1}}$ is greater than the distance between a_{i_n} and $a_{i_{n-1}}$. The family $\{\sigma^k(B) : k \text{ is an integer}\}$ is σ -invariant and almost disjoint.

Let \mathcal{M} be the family of all (infinite) almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of D that are σ -invariant. Order \mathcal{M} by inclusion. Let \mathcal{G} be an element of \mathcal{M} , and let \mathcal{C} be a maximal chain that contains \mathcal{G} . Let \mathcal{F} be the union of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{F} is not a maximal almost disjoint family, then there is an infinite subset A of D which is almost disjoint with every element of \mathcal{F} . Proceeding as above we can get an infinite subset B of A such that $\{\sigma^k(B) : k \text{ is an integer}\}$ is σ -invariant and almost disjoint. The union of the two families is greater than the family \mathcal{F} . So \mathcal{F} is a maximal almost disjoint family. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let D be a countable discrete space. There are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of D .

Proof. Let Q be the set of all rational numbers and let I be the set of all irrational numbers. First we show that there are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families on Q .

For every irrational number r let Q_r be the set of elements of a sequence of rational numbers converging to r . Note that the family $\{Q_r : r \in I\}$ is almost disjoint. Let \mathcal{F} be a free ultrafilter on the set N of all positive integers of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} . So $\mathcal{F} = \{F_r : r \in I\}$.

Let $f_r : N \rightarrow Q_r$ be a one-to-one and onto function. Let σ be a permutation of I , that is $\sigma : I \rightarrow I$ is one-to-one and onto. Put $\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \{f_{\sigma(r)}(F_r) : r \in I\}$. Each \mathcal{A}_σ is an almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of Q . If $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$ then there are r_1 and r_2 such that $r_1 \neq r_2$ and $\sigma_1(r_1) = \sigma_2(r_2)$. So $f_{\sigma_1(r_1)}(F_{r_1})$ and $f_{\sigma_2(r_2)}(F_{r_2})$ are subsets of $Q_{\sigma_1(r_1)}$ and $f_{\sigma_1(r_1)}(F_{r_1}) \cap f_{\sigma_2(r_2)}(F_{r_2})$ is infinite. So $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1} \neq \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_2}$. There are 2^c permutations of irrational numbers, so there are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of infinite subsets of Q . Therefore there are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of D . \square

Theorem 2.3. Let σ be a primitive shift on a countable discrete space D . There are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of D that are σ -invariant.

Proof. Let B be an infinite subset of D such that the family $\{\sigma^k(B): k \text{ is an integer}\}$ is σ -invariant and almost disjoint. Such a subset was constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Let $h: Q \rightarrow B$ be one-to-one and onto. In Lemma 2.2 we constructed 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of Q . Their images under h are maximal almost disjoint families of infinite subsets of B . Note that a union of any two of these images is no longer an almost disjoint family. Let \mathcal{G} be any of these families. Then the family $\mathcal{G} = \{\sigma^n(C): C \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } n \text{ is an integer}\}$ is an almost disjoint σ -invariant family. This family, by Lemma 2.1, can be extended to a maximal almost disjoint family of (infinite) subsets of D that is σ -invariant. This procedure can be applied to each of the images of 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of Q . So there are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of (infinite) subsets of D that are σ -invariant. \square

Corollary 2.4. *There are 2^c non-homeomorphic Ψ^* -spaces that admit a primitive shift.*

Proof. Let σ be a primitive shift on a countable discrete space D . Let \mathcal{F} be a maximal almost disjoint family of (infinite) subsets of D that is σ -invariant. Let $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ be a Ψ -space defined by \mathcal{F} . Then σ extends from D to $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$. There are 2^c maximal almost disjoint families of infinite subsets of D that are invariant under σ . So there are 2^c Ψ -spaces defined by these families. These spaces and their one point compactifications admit a primitive shift. Any homeomorphism of two of these spaces restricted to D is a permutation of elements of D . There are only 2^{\aleph_0} such permutations. So there are 2^c non-homeomorphic Ψ -spaces and Ψ^* -spaces that admit a primitive shift. \square

The almost disjointness number a is the minimal size of an (infinite) maximal almost disjoint family of (infinite) subsets of a countable set. The equality $a = c$ is consistent with ZFC (see [2]).

Theorem 2.5. *If $a = c$ then there exists a Ψ -space with no primitive shift.*

Proof. Let $\{\sigma_\alpha: \alpha < c\}$ be the family of all primitive shifts on a countable discrete space D . Let \mathcal{A} be the family of all infinite subsets of D that have infinite complement. Any infinite almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of D is a subfamily of \mathcal{A} .

We will construct a sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_\alpha: \alpha < c\}$ of (infinite) almost disjoint families of infinite subsets of D such that

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_\beta \subset \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ for $\beta < \alpha$;
- (2) $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha\} \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{F}_\beta$ for some pairwise almost disjoint sets $A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that either $\sigma_\alpha(A_\alpha)$ has an infinite intersection with B_α and C_α or $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(A_\alpha)$ has an infinite intersection with B_α and C_α .

Let $S_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. From the proof of Lemma 2.1 there is an infinite subset A_0 of S_0 such that $\{\sigma_0^k(A_0): k \text{ is an integer}\}$ is an almost disjoint family. Put B_0 and C_0 to be two infinite disjoint subsets of $\sigma_0(A_0) \setminus A_0$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{A_0, B_0, C_0\} \cup \{\sigma_0^k(A_0): k \text{ is an integer and } k \neq 1\}$. So \mathcal{F}_0 is an infinite almost disjoint family and conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.

Suppose we have constructed almost disjoint families \mathcal{F}_β , for $\beta < \alpha$, that satisfy conditions (1) and (2). Note that $\mathcal{F}_{<\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{F}_\beta$ is an infinite almost disjoint family and its cardinality is $\omega \cdot \alpha < c = a$, so it is not maximal. So there is S_α in \mathcal{A} that is almost disjoint with every element of $\mathcal{F}_{<\alpha}$. If $\sigma_\alpha(S_\alpha)$ is almost disjoint with every element of $\mathcal{F}_{<\alpha}$ then we construct the three sets as before, that is let A_α be an infinite subset of S_α such that $\{\sigma_\alpha^k(A_\alpha): k \text{ is an integer}\}$ is an almost disjoint family. Put B_α and C_α to be two infinite disjoint subsets of $\sigma_\alpha(A_\alpha)$. Put $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{F}_{<\alpha} \cup \{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha\}$. Then conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.

If for every S_α in \mathcal{A} that is almost disjoint with every element of $\mathcal{F}_{<\alpha}$ we have that $\sigma_\alpha(S_\alpha)$ has an infinite intersection with some element of $\mathcal{F}_{<\alpha}$ we take A_α to be that element. So $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(A_\alpha) \cap S_\alpha$ is an infinite subset of S_α . We split it into two infinite disjoint subsets that we call B_α and C_α . Put $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{F}_{<\alpha} \cup \{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha\}$. Then conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.

The family $\bigcup_{\beta < c} \mathcal{F}_\beta$ is almost disjoint and is not invariant with respect to any primitive shift on D . There is a maximal almost disjoint family \mathcal{F} that contains it.

We claim that spaces $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ and $\Psi^*(\mathcal{F})$ admit no primitive shift. Suppose there is a primitive shift $\sigma: \Psi(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \{p\}$. The restriction $\sigma|_D$ is a primitive shift on D , and therefore $\sigma = \sigma_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < c$. Consider the sets $\{A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha\}$ in \mathcal{F}_α . These sets are simultaneously points of the family $\mathcal{F} \subset \Psi(\mathcal{F})$. There are two cases to consider.

First suppose that B_α and C_α are subsets of $\sigma_\alpha(A_\alpha)$. In this case we consider the image $A = \sigma(A_\alpha)$ in $\Psi(\mathcal{F}) = D \cup \mathcal{F}$ of the point $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$. So A is an element of \mathcal{F} . Since B_α and C_α are distinct elements in \mathcal{F} the point A differs from either B_α or C_α . Assume that $A \neq B_\alpha$. Then $A \cap B_\alpha$ is finite.

The set $\mathcal{O}(A) = \{A\} \cup (A \setminus B_\alpha)$ is a neighborhood of the point A in $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$. By the continuity of σ at the point A_α there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(A_\alpha)$ of A_α such that $\sigma(\mathcal{O}(A_\alpha)) \subset \mathcal{O}(A)$. By the definition of the topology on $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ the set $A_\alpha \setminus \mathcal{O}(A_\alpha)$ is finite. Since $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(B_\alpha) \subset A_\alpha$ is infinite, there is an element b in $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(B_\alpha) \cap \mathcal{O}(A_\alpha)$. For this point we get $\sigma(b) \in B_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}(A)$ which is not possible as $B_\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}(A) = \emptyset$.

If B_α and C_α are subsets of $\sigma_\alpha^{-1}(A_\alpha)$ we proceed as above, replacing σ by σ^{-1} .

So $\Psi(\mathcal{F})$ is a Ψ -space with no primitive shift. \square

We are indebted to the referee for improvements and comments. Credit for Theorem 2.5 is due to the referee. In the original version of the paper we had a statement under CH for a Ψ -like space only.

References

- [1] R.M. Crownover, Commutants of shifts on Banach spaces, *Michigan Math. J.* 19 (1972) 233–247.
- [2] Eric K. van Douwen, The integers and topology, in: K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan (Eds.), *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1984, pp. 111–167.
- [3] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, Toronto, London, New York, 1960.
- [4] A. Gutek, D. Hart, J. Jamison, M. Rajagopalan, Shift operators on Banach spaces, *J. Func. Anal.* 101 (1991) 97–119.
- [5] A. Gutek, S.P. Moshokoa, M. Rajagopalan, K. Sundaresan, Primitive shifts and shifts on compact metric scattered spaces, *Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc.* 8 (1) (2011) 1–10.
- [6] A. Gutek, J. Norden, Type 1 shifts on $C(X)$, *Topology Appl.* 114 (2001) 73–89.
- [7] J.R. Holub, On shift operators, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 31 (1988) 85–94.
- [8] M. Rajagopalan, K. Sundaresan, Generalized shifts on Banach spaces of continuous functions, *J. Analysis* 10 (2002) 5–15.