

# TUTDoR

## Coinoculation impact on plant growth promotion: A review and meta#analysis on coinoculation of rhizobia and plant growth#promoting bacilli in grain legumes.

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| Item Type     | Article  |
| Authors       | Kaschuk, Glaciela;Aule, André Carlos;Vieira, Crislaine Emidio;Dakora, Felix Dapore;Jaiswal, Sanjay K.;Da Cruz, Sonia Purin |
| DOI           | <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-022-00800-7">https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-022-00800-7</a>                        |
| Publisher     | Springer   |
| Rights        | Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International   |
| Download date | 2025-02-07 08:34:59  |
| Item License  | <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</a>          |
| Link to Item  | <a href="https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14519/864">https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14519/864</a>                              |



# Coinoculation impact on plant growth promotion: a review and meta-analysis on coinoculation of rhizobia and plant growth-promoting bacilli in grain legumes

Glaciela Kaschuk<sup>1</sup> · André Carlos Auler<sup>1</sup> · Crislaine Emidio Vieira<sup>1</sup> · Felix Dapore Dakora<sup>2</sup> · Sanjay K. Jaiswal<sup>2</sup> · Sonia Purin da Cruz<sup>3</sup>

Received: 4 April 2022 / Accepted: 13 July 2022 / Published online: 28 July 2022  
© The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia 2022

## Abstract

Coinoculation of symbiotic N<sub>2</sub>-fixing rhizobia and plant growth-promoting *Bacillus* on legume seeds can increase crop productivity. We collected highly resolved data on coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli on 11 grain legume crops: chickpea, common bean, cowpea, faba bean, groundnut, lentil, mung bean, pea, pigeon pea, soybean, and urad bean to verify the magnitude of additive effects of coinoculation in relation to single inoculation of rhizobia on plant growth and yield of grain legumes. Coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli on legume seeds and/or soil during sowing significantly increased nodulation, nitrogenase activity, plant N and P contents, and shoot and root biomass, as well as the grain yield of most grain legumes studied. There were however a few instances where coinoculation decreased plant growth parameters. Therefore, coinoculation of rhizobia and *Bacillus* has the potential to increase the growth and productivity of grain legumes, and can be recommended as an environmental-friendly agricultural practice for increased crop yields.

**Keywords** Root growth · Plant nutrient content · Grain yield · Biological nitrogen fixation · Nodule

Responsible Editor: Luc F.M. Rouws

✉ Glaciela Kaschuk  
glaciela.kaschuk@ufpr.br

André Carlos Auler  
auler@ufpr.br

Crislaine Emidio Vieira  
crislaine\_emidio@hotmail.com

Felix Dapore Dakora  
dakorafd@tut.ac.za

Sanjay K. Jaiswal  
sanjaysiswa@gmail.com

Sonia Purin da Cruz  
s.purin@ufsc.br

<sup>1</sup> Post-Graduation in Soil Science, Federal University of Paraná, Rua dos Funcionários, 1540, Curitiba, PR CEP 80035-050, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa

<sup>3</sup> Federal University of Santa Catarina, Campus Curitibanos, Rodovia Ulisses Gaboardi, km 3, Curitibanos, SC CEP 89520-000, Brazil

## Introduction

Grain legumes play an important role in the diets of billions of people worldwide in both temperate and tropical regions, but are not always represented by high yields (Table 1). Most of them are either consumed directly as food by humans, or processed for use as fodder, biofuel, and other industrial purposes [1]. Legumes are highly valued crops because their seeds contain high levels of protein, fibre, mineral nutrients, lipids, and antioxidants due to their N<sub>2</sub>-fixing ability, which supports high photosynthetic capacity [2, 3]. Additionally, the N-rich residues of legumes can improve soil fertility, thus contributing to increased food production in subsequent cropping cycles [1].

Legumes meet part of their N demand through N uptake from soil solution, and the other from symbiosis with rhizobia, which are gram-negative bacteria that elicit the formation of root nodules inside which bacteroids reduce N<sub>2</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub> in a process called biological N fixation (BNF) [6]. Although BNF can meet the full N requirements in legumes, it is often hampered by environmental conditions such as drought, high temperatures, soil N, low efficiencies of rhizobial strains, and the type of plant species/cultivar [7, 8]. The

**Table 1** Production of grain legume and cereal crops in the world during 2019

| Grain legume       | Production (million tonnes) | Area harvested (million ha) | Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Major producers                                  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| <i>Chickpea</i>    | 14.2                        | 13.7                        | 1038                         | India, 70%; Turkey, Russia, and Myanmar: 4% each |
| <i>Common bean</i> | 28.9                        | 33.1                        | 874                          | India, 38%; Myanmar, 10%; Brazil, 8%             |
| <i>Cowpea</i>      | 8.9                         | 14.4                        | 616                          | Nigeria, 40%; Niger, 27%; Burkina Faso, 7%       |
| <i>Faba bean</i>   | 5.4                         | 2.6                         | 2108                         | China, 32%; Ethiopia, 19%; UK, 10%               |
| <i>Groundnut</i>   | 48.8                        | 29.6                        | 1647                         | China, 36%; India, 14%; Nigeria, 9%              |
| <i>Lentil</i>      | 5.7                         | 4.8                         | 1195                         | Canada, 38%; India, 21%; Australia, 9%           |
| <i>Mung bean</i>   | 5.3                         | 7.3                         | 730                          | India, 30%; Myanmar, 30%; China, 16%             |
| <i>Pea</i>         | 14.2                        | 7.2                         | 1979                         | Canada, 30%; Russia, 17%; China, 10%             |
| <i>Pigeon pea</i>  | 4.4                         | 5.6                         | 788                          | India, 75%; Malawi, 10%; Myanmar, 8%             |
| <i>Soybean</i>     | 333.7                       | 120.5                       | 2769                         | Brazil, 34%, USA, 29%; Argentina, 17%            |
| <i>Urad bean</i>   | n.e                         | n.e                         | 909                          | India, 70%; Myanmar, Pakistan                    |
| Total legumes      | 469.9                       | 238.8                       | n.e                          |  |

Data recalculated from FAO [4], except for mung bean, which was taken from World Vegetable Center [5]

n.e., not estimated

Legume species: chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*; common bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*; cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*; faba bean, *Vicia faba*; groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea*; lentil, *Lens culinaris*; mung bean, *Vigna radiata*; pea, *Pisum sativum*; pigeon pea, *Cajanus cajan*; soybean, *Glycine max*; urad bean, *Vigna mungo*

efficiency of BNF in legumes has been shown to increase with inoculation of efficient and competitive rhizobia that infect root hairs before indigenous soil rhizobia, which may be low N<sub>2</sub>-fixers [6]. Inoculation of rhizobia at sowing allows bacteria to be present right at the beginning of root growth, thus favouring early nodule formation. In addition, seed inoculation promotes the formation of larger nodules, closer to the crown of the plant, resulting in nodular characteristics that provide greater symbiotic efficiency [6, 9].

Rhizobial inoculation is a common and highly recommended practice to improve crop yield, harvest index, and the protein content in grain legumes [3, 10, 11]. In many situations, efficient rhizobial inoculation meets all the legume's N requirements, and supports high grain yields [8, 12, 13]. However, BNF efficiency could be improved by coinoculation of rhizobia with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), which enhance rhizobial symbiosis by complementary mechanisms in plant growth promotion [10, 13, 14].

Among PGPB, gram-positive bacteria of the *Bacillus* genus caught the attention of researchers to the various mechanisms by which they promote plant growth [11, 15, 16], as well as their resilience through the formation of endospores, which increases their chance of success as bacterial inoculants [17]. *Bacillus* and other related genera are potential PGPB due to their ability to produce phytohormones such as gibberellic acid (GA) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) [11, 15, 16, 18–20]. In addition, *Bacillus* strains are also considered phosphate-solubilizing bacteria due to their ability to release large amounts of siderophores (which solubilizes Fe from the inorganic mineral FePO<sub>4</sub>), organic acids (which decrease soil solution pH, and dissolve more labile

inorganic phosphate), and phosphatases (which mineralize organic phosphates present in soil organic matter) [11, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22]. Some *Bacillus* strains produce potent toxins which act as antifungal and antibiotic compounds that control growth of antagonistic microorganisms, or induce plant resistance against pathogens [15, 16, 23–30]. *Bacillus* strains also release ACC deaminase, an enzyme that degrades the precursor of ethylene, a phytohormone that induces plant senescence [16] and alleviates drought stress [31]. Due to these traits, there are several inoculant products based on *Bacillus* species which are available in the market and can be used for agricultural crops [15, 17]. These inoculants (rhizobia and bacilli) can be coinoculated in grain legumes to increase the symbiotic efficiency of rhizobia through complementary mechanisms of plant growth promotion [11, 32, 33]. That way, coinoculation could also increase the symbiotic efficiency of rhizobia, legume N content, plant size, and grain yields. The main objective of this study was to assess the magnitude of additive effects of coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli relative to single inoculation of rhizobia on plant growth and yield of grain legumes.

## Materials and methods

### Data collection

Data were acquired from a library search of peer-reviewed articles in the platforms “Web of Science” and “Google Scholar”, using the keywords: “coinoculation”, “rhizobium”

**Table 2** Log response ratio of nodule and biological N fixation due to the coinoculation of rhizobia and plant growth-promoting bacilli in grain legumes

| Grain legume       | Exp. condition | Nodulation |           |                |          | Nitrogenase activity |           |                |          |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|
|                    |                | <i>n</i>   | <i>lr</i> | 95% C.I        | <i>p</i> | <i>n</i>             | <i>lr</i> | 95% C.I        | <i>p</i> |
| <i>Chickpea</i>    | Pot            | 97         | 0.131     | 0.071; 0.192   | <0.0001  | 50                   | 0.146     | 0.039; 0.253   | 0.0074   |
|                    | Field          | 94         | 0.260     | 0.220; 0.299   | <0.0001  | 4                    | 0.134     | 0.050; 0.214   | <0.0001  |
| <i>Common bean</i> | Pot            | 152        | 0.092     | 0.033; 0.151   | 0.0023   | 33                   | 0.197     | 0.099; 0.294   | <0.0001  |
|                    | Field          | 18         | 0.020     | −0.046; 0.085  | 0.5546   | 4                    | 0.139     | −0.296; 0.574  | 0.5321   |
| <i>Cowpea</i>      | Pot            | 159        | 0.013     | −0.032; 0.057  | 0.5749   |                      |           |                |          |
|                    | Field          | 4          | −0.337    | −0.609; −0.064 | 0.0154   |                      |           |                |          |
| <i>Faba bean</i>   | Field          | 138        | 0.029     | −0.020; 0.077  | 0.2457   | 21                   | 0.299     | 0.164; 0.433   | <0.0001  |
| <i>Groundnut</i>   | Pot            | 27         | 0.594     | 0.454; 0.734   | <0.0001  | 7                    | 0.099     | −0.052; 0.251  | 0.1961   |
| <i>Lentil</i>      | Pot            | 12         | 0.301     | 0.2165; 0.385  | <0.0001  | 1                    | −0.569    | −0.997; −0.142 | 0.0090   |
|                    | Field          | 8          | 0.095     | 0.021; 0.169   | 0.0121   | 2                    | 0.475     | 0.331; 0.619   | <0.0001  |
| <i>Mung bean</i>   | Pot            | 72         | 0.006     | −0.064; 0.077  | 0.8651   | 48                   | −0.211    | −0.322; −0.102 | 0.0002   |
|                    | Field          | 30         | 0.199     | 0.165; 0.232   | <0.0001  |                      |           |                |          |
| <i>Pea</i>         | Pot            | 8          | 0.226     | 0.002; 0.452   | 0.0485   | 2                    | −0.376    | −0.758; 0.005  | 0.0532   |
| <i>Pigeon pea</i>  | Pot            | 25         | 0.100     | −0.111; 0.311  | 0.3520   | 1                    | 0.291     | 0.139; 0.442   | 0.0002   |
|                    | Field          | 21         | 0.223     | −0.158; 0.611  | 0.2483   |                      |           |                |          |
| <i>Soybean</i>     | Pot            | 96         | 0.213     | 0.142; 0.283   | <0.0001  | 47                   | 0.045     | −0.156; 0.246  | <0.0001  |
|                    | Field          | 89         | 0.400     | 0.300; 0.501   | <0.0001  | 4                    | −0.114    | −0.723; 0.495  | 0.7129   |
| <i>Urad bean</i>   | Pot            | 6          | 0.036     | −0.072; 0.144  | 0.5100   |                      |           |                |          |

*n* is the number of observations; *lr* is the log response ratio obtained by dividing treatment value (rhizobia + bacilli) per control (rhizobia); 95% C.I. are the lower and upper confidence intervals at  $p < 0.95$

Interpretation: Negative values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli decreases the variable values, and positive values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation promoted increases in the variable values. When the value of *lr* is negative and both confidence intervals are negative, the effects are significantly negative. When the value of *lr* is positive and both confidence intervals are positive, the effects are significantly positive

Legume species: chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*; common bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*; cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*; faba bean, *Vicia faba*; groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea*; lentil, *Lens culinaris*; mung bean, *Vigna radiata*; Pea, *Pisum sativum*; pigeon pea, *Cajanus cajan*; soybean, *Glycine max*; urad bean, *Vigna mungo*

Database and the “R” script for the meta-analysis are presented in the Supplementary Material

or “rhizobia” and “bacillus” or “bacilli”, associated with one of the grain legumes listed below in pots or field experiments. The outcome of the library search (Supplementary Material) for each grain legume in this meta-analysis included chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) [22, 34–49]; common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) [18, 23, 24, 30, 31, 50–65]; cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) [66–72]; faba bean (*Vicia faba*) [21, 73–76]; groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) [25, 27, 28, 77–79]; lentil (*Lens culinaris*) [26, 80–84]; mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) [85–91]; pea (*Pisum sativum*) [65, 83, 92]; pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) [93–96]; soybean (*Glycine max*) [20, 51, 65, 84, 97–115]; and urad bean (*Vigna mungo*) [14, 116].

In the meta-analysis, plants or plots inoculated only with one rhizobial strain were considered as “control”, and coinoculation with at least one rhizobium and one bacillus strain as “treatment”. *Paenibacillus*, *Brevibacillus*, and *Lysinibacillus*, which have been recognized as plant

growth-promoting *Bacillus* bacteria, were used by some researchers in their experiments.

Statistical parameters such as the mean, standard deviation, and number of replications of each experimental unit were obtained for the following variables: nodules (number and/or dry matter), biological nitrogen fixation (BNF; nitrogenase activity or N-derived from BNF by N<sup>15</sup>), N accumulated in the plant (N concentration or total mass in the plant, or in the shoot), P accumulated in the plant (P concentration or total mass in the plant, grain, or in the shoot), root size (length or dry matter), shoot size (height or dry matter), and grain yield (dry matter of grains). Studies with less than three replications were excluded from the meta-analysis. When standard deviation was not presented in articles, it was calculated based on coefficient of variation or standard error. If none of these indicators were presented, we first calculated coefficient of variation with the means available in each experiment and then obtained standard deviation values.

**Table 3** Log response ratio of the coinoculation of rhizobia and plant growth-promoting bacilli in grain legumes

| Grain legume       | Exp. condition | Plant N  |           |                |          | Plant P  |           |               |          |
|--------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|---------------|----------|
|                    |                | <i>n</i> | <i>lr</i> | 95% C.I.       | <i>p</i> | <i>n</i> | <i>lr</i> | 95% C.I.      | <i>p</i> |
| <i>Chickpea</i>    | Pot            | 28       | 0.311     | 0.185; 0.436   | <0.0001  | 12       | 0.165     | 0.112; 0.218  | <0.0001  |
|                    | Field          | 23       | 0.051     | 0.012; 0.089   | 0.0095   | 26       | 0.222     | 0.168; 0.277  | <0.0001  |
| <i>Common bean</i> | Pot            | 20       | 0.041     | −0.105; 0.187  | 0.5825   |          |           |               |          |
|                    | Field          | 7        | 0.179     | 0.103; 0.255   | <0.0001  |          |           |               |          |
| <i>Cowpea</i>      | Pot            | 90       | −0.185    | −0.228; −0.143 | <0.0001  |          |           |               |          |
|                    | Field          | 2        | −0.055    | −0.255; 0.144  | 0.5877   |          |           |               |          |
| <i>Faba bean</i>   | Field          | 24       | 0.072     | 0.011; 0.132   | 0.0200   | 18       | 0.050     | −0.002; 0.102 | 0.0604   |
| <i>Groundnut</i>   | Pot            | 2        | −0.077    | −0.587; 0.435  | 0.7691   | 6        | −0.022    | −0.059; 0.015 | 0.2458   |
| <i>Lentil</i>      | Field          |          |           |                |          | 2        | 0.244     | 0.054; 0.435  | 0.0120   |
| <i>Mung bean</i>   | Pot            | 72       | 0.215     | 0.177; 0.253   | <0.0001  |          |           |               |          |
|                    | Field          | 12       | 0.136     | 0.071; 0.202   | <0.0001  |          |           |               |          |
| <i>Pea</i>         | Pot            | 4        | 0.030     | −0.051; 0.119  | 0.4326   |          |           |               |          |
| <i>Pigeon pea</i>  | Pot            | 1        | 0.117     | 0.043; 0.190   | 0.0019   |          |           |               |          |
|                    | Field          | 10       | −0.003    | −0.120; 0.113  | 0.9555   |          |           |               |          |
| <i>Soybean</i>     | Pot            | 5        | 0.458     | 0.032; 0.883   | 0.0349   |          |           |               |          |
|                    | Field          | 50       | −0.046    | −0.082; −0.011 | 0.0097   | 2        | 0.000     | −0.015; 0.015 | 0.9900   |
| <i>Urad bean</i>   | Pot            | 2        | 0.019     | −0.008; 0.047  | 0.1739   | 4        | 0.091     | 0.058; 0.124  | <0.0001  |

*n* is the number of observations; *lr* is the log response ratio obtained by dividing treatment value (rhizobia + bacilli) per control (rhizobia); 95% C.I. are the lower and upper confidence intervals at  $p < 0.95$

Interpretation: Negative values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli decreases the variable values, and positive values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation promoted increases in the variable values. When the value of *lr* is negative and both confidence intervals are negative, the effects are significantly negative. When the value of *lr* is positive and both confidence intervals are positive, the effects are significantly positive

Legume species: chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*; common bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*; cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*; faba bean, *Vicia faba*; groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea*; lentil, *Lens culinaris*; mung bean, *Vigna radiata*; pea, *Pisum sativum*; pigeon pea, *Cajanus cajan*; soybean, *Glycine max*; urad bean, *Vigna mungo*

Database and the “R” script for the meta-analysis are presented in the Supplementary Material

Effect size was calculated using the log response ratios (*lr*) and the total variability in the response ratios, from control to experimental groups, with equations proposed by Hedges et al. [117] and Gurevitch and Hedges [118]. Analyses were done at R platform version 4.0.1 (R Core Team, 2020) using metaphor package [119]; script for run is presented as Supplementary Material.

## Results

Overall, the meta-analysis indicated that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli promoted plant growth of different grain legumes relative to single rhizobia inoculation. Nodulation (or nodule) was the most responsive variable to coinoculation, but other plant variables were also highly increased. In pot experiments, coinoculation significantly increased nodulation of chickpea, common bean, groundnut, lentil, pea, and soybean, while in field experiments, it increased nodulation of chickpea, cowpea, mung bean, and soybean (Table 2). In field-grown cowpea, coinoculation decreased the number

and mass of nodules (Table 2). In the other legumes, the coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli did not change nodulation (Table 2).

Nitrogenase activity (indicator of BNF) was stimulated by coinoculation in chickpea, common bean, and pigeon pea in pot experiments, and in faba bean and lentil in field experiments. However, it was decreased in lentil ( $n$  = number of data points = 1) and mung bean ( $n$  = 48) in pot experiments (Table 2). Biological N fixation of other grain legumes was not affected by coinoculation of rhizobia with bacilli (Table 2).

Most grain legumes accumulated more N in the plant when coinoculated, i.e., chickpea (pot and field), common bean (field), faba bean (field), mung bean (pot and field), pigeon pea (pot), and soybeans (pot). On the other hand, the plant N content of soybean and cowpea in the field was negatively affected by coinoculation (Table 3). Likewise, coinoculation promoted higher uptake of P in the chickpea (pot and field), lentil (field), and urad bean (pot) (Table 3).

Coinoculation promoted shoot and root growth of most grain legumes. Chickpea (pot and field), faba bean (field), groundnut

**Table 4** Log response ratio of the coinoculation of rhizobia and plant growth-promoting bacilli in grain legumes

| Grain legume       | Exp. condition | Root size |              |                |          | Shoot size |              |                |          |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------|------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
|                    |                | <i>n</i>  | <i>Log R</i> | 95% <i>C.I</i> | <i>p</i> | <i>n</i>   | <i>Log R</i> | 95% <i>C.I</i> | <i>p</i> |
| <i>Chickpea</i>    | Pot            | 33        | 0.341        | 0.156; 0.523   | 0.0003   | 67         | 0.312        | 0.182; 0.440   | < 0.0001 |
|                    | Field          | 16        | 0.179        | 0.120; 0.238   | < 0.0001 | 71         | 0.184        | 0.123; 0.244   | < 0.0001 |
| <i>Common bean</i> | Pot            | 38        | 0.007        | −0.039; 0.053  | 0.7604   | 122        | 0.054        | 0.005; 0.103   | 0.0312   |
|                    | Field          | 6         | −0.097       | −0.192; −0.003 | 0.0442   | 20         | 0.071        | 0.025; 0.118   | 0.0025   |
| <i>Cowpea</i>      | Pot            | 158       | −0.015       | −0.050; 0.021  | 0.4127   | 116        | −0.070       | −0.097; −0.043 | < 0.0001 |
|                    | Field          |           |              |                |          | 2          | −0.034       | −0.239; 0.171  | 0.7455   |
| <i>Faba bean</i>   | Pot            |           |              |                |          | 4          | 0.033        | −0.032; 0.097  | 0.3213   |
|                    | Field          | 80        | 0.094        | 0.043; 0.145   | 0.0003   | 104        | 0.034        | 0.006; 0.062   | 0.0167   |
| <i>Groundnut</i>   | Pot            | 37        | 0.177        | 0.123; 0.230   | < 0.0001 | 72         | 0.119        | 0.057; 0.181   | 0.0002   |
| <i>Lentil</i>      | Pot            | 8         | 0.170        | 0.111; 0.229   | < 0.0001 | 20         | 0.031        | 0.020; 0.042   | < 0.0001 |
|                    | Field          |           |              |                |          | 5          | 0.056        | −0.0201; 0.132 | 0.1494   |
| <i>Mung bean</i>   | Pot            | 18        | 0.206        | −0.009; 0.421  | 0.0605   | 80         | 0.151        | 0.065; 0.237   | 0.0006   |
|                    | Field          |           |              |                |          | 24         | 0.129        | 0.072; 0.186   | < 0.0001 |
| <i>Pea</i>         | Pot            | 12        | 0.040        | −0.017; 0.097  | 0.1716   | 13         | 0.043        | −0.019; 0.105  | 0.1729   |
| <i>Pigeon pea</i>  | Pot            | 1         | 0.388        | −0.145; 0.920  | 0.1533   | 17         | 0.095        | −0.052; 0.242  | 0.2043   |
|                    | Field          | 11        | 0.006        | −0.105; 0.117  | 0.9202   | 15         | 0.065        | −0.039; 0.170  | 0.2217   |
| <i>Soybean</i>     | Pot            | 42        | 0.237        | 0.161; 0.314   | < 0.0001 | 58         | 0.181        | 0.122; 0.240   | < 0.0001 |
|                    | Field          | 19        | 0.198        | 0.131; 0.264   | < 0.0001 | 45         | 0.055        | 0.032; 0.077   | < 0.0001 |
| <i>Urad bean</i>   | Pot            | 7         | 0.058        | −0.019; 0.136  | 0.1416   | 8          | 0.063        | 0.002; 0.123   | 0.0427   |

*n* is the number of observations; *lr* is the log response ratio obtained by dividing treatment value (rhizobia + bacilli) per control (rhizobia); 95% C.I. are the lower and upper confidence intervals at  $p < 0.95$

Interpretation: Negative values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli decreases the variable values, and positive values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation promoted increases in the variable values. When the value of *lr* is negative and both confidence intervals are negative, the effects are significantly negative. When the value of *lr* is positive and both confidence intervals are positive, the effects are significantly positive

Legume species: chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*; common bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*; cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*; faba bean, *Vicia faba*; groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea*; lentil, *Lens culinaris*; mung bean, *Vigna radiata*; pea, *Pisum sativum*; pigeon pea, *Cajanus cajan*; soybean, *Glycine max*; urad bean, *Vigna mungo*

Database and the “R” script for the meta-analysis are presented in the Supplementary Material

(pot), lentil (pot), and soybean (pot and field) had larger roots, while only common bean (field) had smaller roots because of coinoculation (Table 4). In addition, there were significant increases in shoot size of chickpea (pot and field), common bean (pot and field), faba bean (field) groundnut (pot), lentil (pot), mung beans (pot and field), soybean (pot and field), and urad bean (pot), and only decreased in cowpea (pot) (Table 4).

Finally, coinoculation had positive effects on grain yield of chickpea (pot and field), cowpea (pot), faba bean (field), lentil (field), mung bean (field), and soybean (pot) (Table 5). Nevertheless, negative effects were observed on lentil grown in pot ( $n = 1$ ) (Table 5).

## Discussion

During several decades, researchers have hypothesized that inoculation of more than one type of microorganism can increase the population of microorganisms that act in

plant ecophysiology by complementary beneficial mechanisms [10, 11, 32, 33]. In the present meta-analysis of data regarding coinoculation of rhizobia with bacilli in 11 grain legume crops, we produced information that corroborates to the above said hypothesis. Our findings expand the current knowledge of inoculation techniques to the other legumes that were previously applied for soybean only [13, 14]. This is the first study worldwide on meta-analysis related to coinoculation of grain legumes with rhizobia and bacilli, and it emphasizes the role of several strains of the *Bacillus* genus to improve rhizobial symbiosis performance, and increase growth and yields of grain legumes in different parts of the world (cf. Supplementary Material).

Our meta-analysis confirmed that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli enhances the root nodule number, accumulates more N and P, increases root and shoot growth, and finally results in higher grain yields (Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5). Higher nodulation and increased root growth may have been consequence of increased phytohormone production, such as

**Table 5** Log response ratio of the coinoculation of rhizobia and plant growth-promoting bacilli in grain legumes

| Grain legume       | Exp. condition | Grain yield |              |                |          |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
|                    |                | <i>n</i>    | <i>Log R</i> | 95% <i>C.I</i> | <i>p</i> |
| <i>Chickpea</i>    | Pot            | 2           | 0.161        | 0.007; 0.316   | 0.0405   |
|                    | Field          | 34          | 0.159        | 0.096; 0.221   | <0.0001  |
| <i>Common bean</i> | Pot            | 1           | −0.215       | −0.712; 0.282  | 0.3963   |
|                    | Field          | 20          | 0.060        | −0.027; 0.146  | 0.1756   |
| <i>Cowpea</i>      | Pot            | 3           | 0.261        | 0.210; 0.313   | <0.0001  |
| <i>Faba bean</i>   | Field          | 53          | 0.058        | 0.014; 0.103   | 0.0105   |
|                    | Pot            | 1           | 0.237        | −0.980; 1.454  | 0.7028   |
| <i>Lentil</i>      | Pot            | 1           | −0.150       | −0.236; −0.036 | 0.0007   |
|                    | Field          | 3           | 0.079        | 0.015; 0.144   | 0.0164   |
| <i>Mung bean</i>   | Pot            | 18          | −0.113       | −0.308; 0.083  | 0.2591   |
|                    | Field          | 9           | 0.181        | 0.109; 0.252   | <0.0001  |
| <i>Pigeon pea</i>  | Pot            | 1           | −0.259       | −0.972; 0.454  | 0.4760   |
| <i>Soybean</i>     | Pot            | 3           | 0.119        | 0.064; 0.174   | <0.0001  |
|                    | Field          | 52          | −0.005       | −0.026; 0.017  | 0.6643   |
| <i>Urad bean</i>   | Pot            | 2           | 0.033        | −0.064; 0.130  | 0.5082   |

*n* is the number of observations; *lr* is the log response ratio obtained by dividing treatment value (rhizobia + bacilli) per control (rhizobia); 95% C.I. are the lower and upper confidence intervals at  $p < 0.95$

Interpretation: Negative values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli decreases the variable values, and positive values of *lr* indicated that coinoculation promoted increases in the variable values. When the value of *lr* is negative and both confidence intervals are negative, the effects are significantly negative. When the value of *lr* is positive and both confidence intervals are positive, the effects are significantly positive

Legume species: chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*; common bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris*; cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*; faba bean, *Vicia faba*; groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea*; lentil, *Lens culinaris*; mung bean, *Vigna radiata*; pea, *Pisum sativum*; pigeon pea, *Cajanus cajan*; soybean, *Glycine max*; urad bean, *Vigna mungo*

Database and the “R” script for the meta-analysis are presented in the Supplementary Material

gibberellic acid (GA) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), which stimulate plant cell division and elongation [120]. In fact, many studies that compose the database of this meta-analysis have indicated that *Bacillus* strains produce IAA and other plant growth-promoting substances [18–20, 44–47, 49, 56, 79, 84–86, 88, 98, 108].

Coinoculated legumes accumulated more N in relation to control (plants inoculated only with rhizobia) (Table 3). Higher N content may have been consequence of higher nodulation since nodule dry mass and BNF were positively highly correlated (e.g., [14]). However, it is interesting to mention that although coinoculation increased plant N content in the majority of grain legumes, it did not necessarily increase nitrogenase activity of rhizobial nodules (Table 2). It could be that increased N accumulation in legume plants was related to increased root growth and as increased root growth ensures that plants were supplied with more nutrients [121]. Therefore, high N uptake (Table 3) was probably the result of both increased nodule N fixation capacity (Table 2) and the ability of bacilli to promote root growth (Table 4).

Moreover, coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli in grain legumes also enhanced the level of P accumulation in the plants (Table 3), the result of the combined effect of high

root growth and P solubilization activity of *Bacillus* spp. [15, 92, 100, 121]. Many reports which were used in this meta-analysis confirmed the capacity of *Bacillus* strains to solubilize P in growth media and in soil used for the cultivation of inoculated legumes [19, 34, 38, 44, 49, 78, 79, 91]. In addition to the above results, shoot and root biomasses of chickpeas and soybeans were increased by the rhizobia-bacilli coinoculation and suggested that root growth provides more resources for shoot growth (Table 3).

Additionally, increases in root size can also be very beneficial under stressful conditions because higher root systems can uptake more water and nutrients [85, 122, 123]. For example, coinoculation of *Rhizobium tropici* with *Pae-nibacillus polymyxa* alleviated the negative effects of severe drought stress on common beans under greenhouse conditions [31]. Likewise, coinoculation of *Mesorhizobium ciceri* with *Bacillus* sp. increased chickpea growth and grain yields in P-deficient soil in dry areas of a Mediterranean region [22]. The results in Table 5 are consistent with this proposition, as several legume crops produced more grains when they were coinoculated.

Some legumes had more pronounced responses than others. For example, the most consistent positive results were

obtained with chickpea and soybean, whereas cowpea had some negative responses, particularly in the plant N contents (Table 2) and shoot size (Table 3). Regarding chickpea and soybean, increase in shoot size (Table 4) resulted in high grain yields (Table 5). However, in cowpea, coinoculation decreased shoot growth (negative *lr* for shoot size) but increased grain yield (positive *lr* for grain yield). Results corroborate with the fact that the benefits of coinoculation were not related to increased shoot growth proportionally with grain yield, but probably to changes in the source-sink relationships and in the harvest index of these legume crops [3].

In soils, both rhizobia and bacilli biofilms consume recently produced photosynthates. Rhizobia symbiosis may consume as much as 14% of recent photosynthates [2] while rhizospheric and soil microorganisms may absorb 6 to 10% of photosynthates exuded through roots [124]. This amount should not compromise plant growth because photosynthetic rates are likely to increase due to C sink stimulation [2, 3, 125]. On the contrary, complementary characteristics of rhizobia-bacilli-legume tripartite association should result in higher plant growth and grain yield. In addition to the plant growth-promoting mechanisms related to phytohormone up-regulation and P solubilization, *Bacillus* spp. also play an important role on the biological control of phytopathogen fungi and invertebrate plagues. Indeed, many studies used for this meta-analysis were designed to test the efficacy of *Bacillus* strains to control plagues and diseases that affect grain legume growth [25–28, 30, 60, 76, 80, 92].

Coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli is possibly a step forward in the “Green Revolution” headed by microbial inoculants [126]. In this meta-analysis, we gathered results involving several different bacilli and rhizobia strains in many legume crops worldwide. The results confirmed that coinoculation of rhizobia and bacilli strains was a general strategy to increase biological nitrogen fixation, plant growth, and nutrient acquisition of nearly all grain legumes tested. Therefore, this meta-analysis shows that coinoculation could be considered a viable technology for grain legumes in general, which suggests that more studies involving the best combinations of rhizobia and bacilli in each grain legume crop should be pursued.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-022-00800-7>.

**Acknowledgements** The authors acknowledge support from the Federal University of Paraná Graduate Support Program (UFPR/PROAP, Curitiba, Brazil) and scholarships for Crislaine Emidio Vieira from the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, Brazil, No. 40001016014P4).

**Author contribution** Glaciela Kaschuk and Crislaine Emidio Vieira compiled the data; André Carlos Auler performed the statistical analyses; all authors contributed to the interpretation of the data and writing

and editing the manuscript; all authors have approved the version to be published, and have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

**Funding** This study was supported by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES, Brazil) and by the Graduate Support Program of the Federal University of Paraná (UFPR/PROAP), Curitiba, Brazil.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

## References

1. Rawal V, Navarro DK (2019) The global economy of pulses. FAO, Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/I7108EN>
2. Kaschuk G, Kuyper TW, Leffelaar PA, Hungria M, Giller KE (2009) Are the rates of photosynthesis stimulated by the carbon sink strength of rhizobial and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses? *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:1233–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.03.005>
3. Kaschuk G, Leffelaar PA, Giller KE, Alberton O, Hungria M, Kuyper TW (2010) Responses of legumes to rhizobia and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: a meta-analysis of potential photosynthate limitation of symbioses. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42:125–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.10.017>
4. FAOSTAT (2021) Retrieved from Database. <http://faostat.fao.org/beta/en/#data>. Available on 15 July 2021
5. World Vegetable Center - WVC, International mungbean improvement network, 2021. Retrieved from <https://avrdc.org/intl-mungbean-network/>. Available on 15 July 2021
6. Kaschuk G, Hungria M (2017) Diversity and importance of diazotrophic bacteria to agricultural sustainability in the tropics. In: Diversity and benefits of microorganisms from the tropics. Springer, Cham. p. 269–292. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55804-2\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55804-2_12)
7. Dwivedi SL, Sahrawat KL, Upadhyaya HD, Mengoni A, Galarini M, Bazzicalupo M, Biondi EG, Hungria M, Kaschuk G, Blair MW, Ortiz R (2015) Advances in host plant and rhizobium genomics to enhance symbiotic nitrogen fixation in grain legumes. *Adv Agron* 129:1–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2014.09.001>
8. Peoples MB, Giller KE, Jensen ES, Herridge DF (2021) Quantifying country-to-global scale nitrogen fixation for grain legumes: I. Reliance on nitrogen fixation of soybean, groundnut and pulses. *Plant Soil*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05167-6>
9. Cardoso JD, Gomes DF, Goes KCGP, Fonseca NS, Dorigo OF, Hungria M, Andrade DS (2009) Relationship between total nodulation and nodulation at the root crown of peanut, soybean and common bean plants. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:1760–1763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.05.008>
10. Menéndez E, Paço A (2020) Is the application of plant probiotic bacterial consortia always beneficial for plants? Exploring synergies between rhizobial and non-rhizobial bacteria and their effects on agro-economically valuable crops. *Life* 10:24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life10030024>
11. Janati W, Benmrid B, Elhaisoufi W, Zeroual Y, Nasielski J, Bargaz A (2021) Will phosphate bio-solubilization stimulate biological nitrogen fixation in grain legumes? *Front Agron* 3:637196. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fragro.2021.637196>



12. Kaschuk G, Nogueira MA, De Luca MJ, Hungria M (2016) Response of determinate and indeterminate soybean cultivars to basal and topdressing N fertilization compared to sole inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium*. *Field Crop Res* 195:21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.05.010>
13. Zeffa DM, Fantin LH, Koltun A, de Oliveira ALM, Nunes MPBA, Canteri MG, Gonçalves LSA (2020) Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on coinoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* in soybean crop: a meta-analysis of studies from 1987 to 2018. *PeerJ* 8:e7905. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7905>
14. Barbosa JZ, Hungria M, Sena JVS, Poggere G, dos Reis AR, Corrêa RS (2021) Meta-analysis reveals benefits of coinoculation of soybean with *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Bradyrhizobium* spp. in Brazil. *Appl Soil Ecol* 163:103913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.103913>
15. Aloo BN, Makumba BA, Mbega ER (2019) The potential of Bacilli rhizobacteria for sustainable crop production and environmental sustainability. *Microbiol Res* 219:26–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2018.10.011>
16. Tiwari S, Prasad V, Lata C (2019) *Bacillus*: plant growth promoting bacteria for sustainable agriculture and environment. In: Singh JS, Singh DP (eds) *New and future developments in microbial biotechnology and bioengineering*. Elsevier, London, pp 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64191-5.00003-1>
17. Sousa SM, Oliveira CA, Andrade DL, Carvalho CG, Ribeiro VP, Pastina MM, Marriel IE, Lana UHP, Gomes EA (2021) Tropical *Bacillus* strains inoculation enhances maize root surface area, dry weight, nutrient uptake and grain yield. *J Plant Growth Reg* 40:867–877. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-020-10146-9>
18. Srinivasan M, Holl FB, Petersen DJ (1996) Influence of indoleacetic-acid-producing *Bacillus* isolates on the nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by *Rhizobium etli* under gnotobiotic conditions. *Can J Microbiol* 42:1006–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1139/m96-129>
19. Qureshi MA, Iqbal A, Akhtar N, Shakir MA, Khan A (2012) Coinoculation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and rhizobia in the presence of L-tryptophan for the promotion of mash bean (*Vigna mungo* L.). *Soil Environm* 31:47–54
20. Aung TT, Buranabanyat B, Piromyong P, Longtonglang A, Tittabutr P, Boonkerd N, Teaumroong N (2013) Enhanced soybean biomass by coinoculation of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and its effects on microbial community structures. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 7:3858–3873. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2013.5917>
21. Massoud AM, Abou-Zeid MY, Hassan ESA, El-Fiki SF (2008) Influence of phosphate dissolving and nitrogen fixing bacteria on faba bean under different levels of phosphorus fertilization. *J Agric Sci Mansoura Univ* 33:7991–8007
22. Benjelloun I, Alami IT, El Khadir M, Douira A, Udupa SM (2021) Coinoculation of Mesorhizobium ciceri with either *Bacillus* sp. or *Enterobacter aerogenes* on chickpea improves growth and productivity in phosphate-deficient soils in dry areas of a Mediterranean Region. *Plant* 10:571. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10030571>
23. de Jensen CE, Percich JA, Graham PH (2002a) Integrated management strategies of bean root rot with *Bacillus subtilis* and *Rhizobium* in Minnesota. *Field Crop Res* 74:107–115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290\(01\)00200-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(01)00200-3)
24. de Jensen CE, Percich JA, Graham PH (2002b) The effect of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Rhizobium* inoculation of dry bean seed on root rot severity and yield in Minnesota. *Annu Rep Bean Improv Coop* 45:98–99
25. Abd-Allah EF, El-Didamony G (2007) Effect of seed treatment of *Arachis hypogaea* with *Bacillus subtilis* on nodulation in biocontrol of southern blight (*Sclerotium rolfsii*) disease. *Phytoparasitica* 35:8–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02981055>
26. Siddiqui ZA, Baghel G, Akhtar MS (2007) Biocontrol of *Meloidogyne javanica* by *Rhizobium* and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on lentil. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 23:435–441. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-006-9244-z>
27. Yuttavanichakul W, Lawongsa P, Wongkaew S, Teaumroong N, Boonkerd N, Nomura N, Tittabutr P (2012) Improvement of peanut rhizobial inoculant by incorporation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) as biocontrol against the seed borne fungus, *Aspergillus niger*. *Biol Control* 63:87–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2012.06.008>
28. Figueredo MS, Tonelli ML, Taurian T, Angelini J, Ibañez F, Valetti L, Muñoz V, Anzuay MS, Ludueña L, Fabra A (2014) Interrelationships between *Bacillus* sp. CHEP5 and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. SEMIA 6144 in the induced systemic resistance against *Sclerotium rolfsii* and symbiosis on peanut plants. *J Biosci* 39:877–885. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-014-9470-8>
29. Fira D, Dimkić I, Berić T, Lozo J, Stanković S (2018) Biological control of plant pathogens by *Bacillus* species. *J Biotechnol* 285:44–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.07.044>
30. Ferreira LDVM, Carvalho F, Andrade JFC, Oliveira DP, Medeiros FHV, Moreira FMDS (2020) Coinoculation of selected nodule endophytic rhizobacterial strains with *Rhizobium tropici* promotes plant growth and controls damping off in common bean. *Pedosphere* 30:98–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(19\)60825-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(19)60825-8)
31. Figueredo MVB, Burity HA, Martinez CR, Chanway CP (2008) Alleviation of water stress effects in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) by coinoculation *Paenibacillus X Rhizobium tropici*. *Appl Soil Ecol* 40:182–188. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21921-w>
32. Alemneh AA, Zhou Y, Ryder MH, Denton MD (2020) Mechanisms in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria that enhance legume–rhizobial symbioses. *J Appl Microbiol* 129:1133–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14754>
33. Santoyo G, Guzmán-Guzmán P, Parra-Cota FI, Santos-Villalobos Sdl, Orozco-Mosqueda MdC, Glick BR (2021) Plant growth stimulation by microbial consortia. *Agronomy* 11(2):219. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11020219>
34. Alagawadi AR, Gaur AC (1988) Associative effect of *Rhizobium* and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria on the yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea. *Plant Soil* 105:241–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02376788>
35. Elkoca E, Kantar F, Sahin F (2007) Influence of nitrogen fixing and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the nodulation, plant growth, and yield of chickpea. *J Plant Nutr* 31:157–171. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904160701742097>
36. Parmar N, Dadarwal KR (1999) Stimulation of nitrogen fixation and induction of flavonoid-like compounds by rhizobacteria. *J App Microbiol* 86:36–44. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00634.x>
37. Qureshi MA, Shakir MA, Naveed M, Ahmad MJ (2009) Growth and yield response of chickpea to coinoculation with *Mesorhizobium ciceri* and *Bacillus megaterium*. *J Anim Plant Sci* 19:205–211
38. Rana M, Chandra R, Pareek N (2015) Coinoculation effect of endophytic bacteria with *Mesorhizobium* sp. in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) on nodulation, yields, nutrient uptake and soil biological properties. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci* 63:429–435. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0228.2015.00057.2>
39. Saini R, Dudeja SS, Giri R, Kumar V (2015) Isolation, characterization, and evaluation of bacterial root and nodule endophytes from chickpea cultivated in Northern India. *J Basic Microbiol* 55:74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201300173>
40. Singh G, Sekhon HS, Sharma P (2011) Effect of irrigation and biofertilizer on water use, nodulation, growth and yield of

- chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Arch Agronomy Soil Sci 57:715–726. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2010.493880>
41. Singh N, Singh G, Aggarwal N (2017) Economic analysis of application of phosphorus, single and dual inoculation of *Rhizobium* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus). J Appl Nat Sci 9:1008–1011. <https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v9i2.1312>
  42. Singh Z, Singh G, Aggarwal N, Virk HK (2021) Sharma P (2021) Symbiotic efficiency vis-à-vis chickpea performance as affected by seed inoculation with *Mesorhizobium*, phosphorus-solubilizing bacteria, and phosphorus application. J Plant Nutr. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2021.1943435>
  43. Sivaramaiah N, Malik DK, Sindhu S (2007) Improvement in symbiotic efficiency of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) by coinoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Mesorhizobium* sp. *cicer*. Indian J Microbiol 47:51–56
  44. Verma J, Yadav J (2018) Implication of microbial consortium on biomass and yield of chickpea under sustainable agriculture. Environ Eng Manag J 17:513–522
  45. Verma JP, Yadav J, Tiwari KN (2010) Application of *Rhizobium* sp. BHURC01 and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nodulation, plant biomass and yields of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int J Agric Res 5:148–156. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijar.2010.148.156>
  46. Verma JP, Yadav J, Tiwari KN (2012) Enhancement of nodulation and yield of chickpea by coinoculation of indigenous *Mesorhizobium* spp. and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. Comm Soil Sci Plant Anal 43:605–621. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2012.639110>
  47. Wani P, Khan M, Zaidi A (2007) Coinoculation of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria to promote growth, yield and nutrient uptake in chickpea. Acta Agron Hung 55:315–323. <https://doi.org/10.1556/AAgr.55.2007.3.7>
  48. Wani PA, Khan MS, Zaidi A (2007) Synergistic effects of the inoculation with nitrogen-fixing and phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria on the performance of field-grown chickpea. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 170:283–287. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200620602>
  49. Yadav J, Verma JP (2014) Effect of seed inoculation with indigenous *Rhizobium* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nutrients uptake and yields of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Eur J Soil Biol 63:70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2014.05.001>
  50. Armenta-Bojórquez AD, Roblero-Ramírez HR, Camacho-Báez JR, Mundo-Ocampo M, Garcia-Gutierrez C, Armenta-Medina A (2016) Organic versus synthetic fertilisation of beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in Mexico. Exp Agric 52:154–162. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0014479715000010>
  51. Camacho M, Santamaria C, Temprano F, Rodriguez-Navarro DN, Daza A (2001) Coinoculation with *Bacillus* sp. CECT 450 improves nodulation in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Can J Microbiol 47:1058–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1139/w01-107>
  52. Elkoca E, Turan M, Donmez MF (2010) Effects of single, dual and triple inoculations with *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus megaterium* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli* on nodulation, nutrient uptake, yield and yield parameters of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. 'elkoca-05'). J Plant Nutr 33:2104–2119. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2010.519084>
  53. Ferreira LDVM, Carvalho FD, Andrade JFC, Moreira FMDS (2018) Growth promotion of common bean and genetic diversity of bacteria from Amazon pastureland. Sci Agric 75:461–469. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-992X-2017-0049>
  54. Figueiredo MVB, Martinez CR, Burity HA, Chanway CP (2008) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving nodulation and nitrogen fixation in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:1187–1193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-007-9591-4>
  55. Gabre VV, Venancio WS, Moraes BA, Furmam FG, Galvão CW, Gonçalves DRP, Etto RM (2020) Multiple effect of different plant growth promoting microorganisms on beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) crop. Braz Arch Biol Technol 63:e20190493. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4324-solo-2020190493>
  56. Gharib AA, Shahein MM, Ragab AA (2015) Influence of Rhizobium inoculation combined with *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Bacillus megaterium* var *phosphaticum* on growth, nodulation, yield and quality of two snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivars. Ann Agric Sci 53:249–261. <https://doi.org/10.21608/assjm.2015.109816>
  57. Hafez ASEE, Werner HAD (2007) Genetic biodiversity of common bean nodulating rhizobia and studying their symbiotic effectiveness combined with strains of genus *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus* or *Pseudomonas* in Egypt. Res J Agric Biol Sci 3:184–194
  58. Karanja N, Mutua G, Kimenju J (2007) Evaluating the effect of *Bacillus* and *Rhizobium* bi-inoculant on nodulation and nematode control in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. In: Bationo A, Waswa B, Kihara J, Kimetu J (eds) Advances in integrated soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa: challenges and opportunities. Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5760-1\\_82](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5760-1_82)
  59. Korir H, Mungai NW, Thuita M, Hamba Y, Masso C (2017) Coinoculation effect of rhizobia and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on common bean growth in a low phosphorus soil. Front Plant Sci 8:141. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00141>
  60. Kumar P, Pandey P, Dubey RC, Maheshwari DK (2016) Bacteria consortium optimization improves nutrient uptake, nodulation, disease suppression and growth of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in both pot and field studies. Rhizosphere 2:13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2016.09.002>
  61. Remans R, Croonenborghs A, Gutierrez RT, Michiels J, Vanderleyden J (2007) Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. are dependent on plant P nutrition. Eur J Plant Pathol 119:341–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-007-9154-4>
  62. Shamseldin A, Hafez EE, Abdelsalam H, Werner D (2007) Genetic biodiversity of common bean nodulating rhizobia and studying their symbiotic effectiveness combined with strains of genus *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus* or *Pseudomonas* in Egypt. Res J Agric Biol Sci 3:184–194
  63. Srinivasan M, Holl FB, Petersen DJ (1997) Nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by *Rhizobium etli* is enhanced by the presence of *Bacillus*. Can J Microbiol 43:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1139/m97-001>
  64. Stajković O, Delić D, Jošić D, Kuzmanović D, Rasulić N, Knežević-Vukčević J (2011) Improvement of common bean growth by coinoculation with *Rhizobium* and plant growth-promoting bacteria. Rom Biotechnol Lett 16:5919–5926
  65. Vessey JK, Buss TJ (2002) *Bacillus cereus* UW85 inoculation effects on growth, nodulation, and N accumulation in grain legumes: controlled-environment studies. Can J Plant Sci 82:282–290. <https://doi.org/10.4141/P01-047>
  66. Araújo ASF, Carneiro RFV, Bezerra AAC, Araújo FF (2010) Co-inoculação rizóbio e *Bacillus subtilis* em feijão-caupi e leucena: efeito sobre a nodulação, a fixação de N<sub>2</sub> e o crescimento das plantas. Ciênc Rural 40:182–185. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782009005000249>
  67. Araújo F, Araújo A, Souza M (2012) Inoculação do feijão-caupi com rizobactérias promotoras de crescimento e desempenho na produção de biomassa. Pesq Agropec Pernambucana 17:53–58. <https://doi.org/10.12661/pap.2012.010>
  68. Silva VN, Figueiredo MDVB (2006) Atuação de rizóbios com rizobactéria promotora de crescimento em plantas na cultura do

- caupi (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). Acta Sci Agron 28:407–412. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v28i3.964>
69. Silva VN, Silva LESF, Martínez CR, Burity HA, Figueiredo MVB (2007) Estirpes de *Paenibacillus* promotoras de nodulação específica na simbiose em *Bradyrhizobium* em caupi. Acta Sci Agron 29:331–338. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v29i3.277>
  70. Lima ASTD, Barreto MDCS, Araújo JM, Seldin L, Burity HA, Figueiredo MDVB (2011) Sinergismo *Bacillus*, *Brevibacillus* e, ou, *Paenibacillus* na simbiose *Bradyrhizobium*-caupi. Rev Bras Ciênc Solo 35:713–721. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832011000300006>
  71. Rodrigues AC, Antunes JEL, Medeiros VV, Barros BGF, Figueiredo MVB (2012) Resposta da co-inoculação de bactérias promotoras de crescimento em plantas e *Bradyrhizobium* sp. em caupi. BioSci J 28:196–202
  72. Rodrigues AC, Antunes JEL, Costa AF, Oliveira JP, Figueiredo MVB (2013) Interrelationship of *Bradyrhizobium* sp. and plant growth-promoting bacteria in cowpea: survival and symbiotic performance. J Microbiol 51:49–55. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-013-2335-2>
  73. Abbas M, Haroun S, Mowfy A, Agha M (2018) Coinoculation effect of rhizobia and endophytic bacteria on *Vicia faba* growth and metabolism. J Plant Prod 9:269–272. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jpp.2018.35470>
  74. El-Howeity MA, Abdalla AA, Abo-Kora HA, El-Shinnawi MM (2009) Response of faba bean plants to inoculation with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and other rhizobacteria under three nitrogen levels in newly reclaimed soil. J Soil Sci Agric Eng 34:7325–7345. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jssae.2009.103835>
  75. Rugheim AME, Abdelgani ME (2009) Effects of *Rhizobium* and *Bacillus megaterium* var. *phosphaticum* strains and chemical fertilizers on symbiotic properties and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). Adv Environ Biol 3:337–346
  76. Abd-El-Khair H, Haggag KH, El-Nasr HIS (2018) Field application of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Bacillus subtilis* combined with *Rhizobium* for controlling *Fusarium* root rot in faba bean in organic farming. Middle East J Appl Sci 8:865–873
  77. Mahakavi T, Baskaran L, Rajesh M, Ganesh KS (2014) Efficient of biofertilizers on growth and yield characteristics of groundnut *Arachis hypogaea* L. J Environm Treat Techn 2:158–161
  78. Mathivanan S, Chidambaram AA, Sundramoorthy P, Baskaran L, Kalaikandhan R (2014) Effect of combined inoculations of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on the growth and yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 3:1010–1020
  79. Preyanga R, Anandham R, Krishnamoorthy R, Senthilkumar M, Gopal NO, Vellaikumar A, Meena S (2021) Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) nodule *Rhizobium* and passenger endophytic bacterial cultivable diversity and their impact on plant growth promotion. Rhizosphere 17:100309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2021.100309>
  80. Akhtar MS, Shakeel U, Siddiqui ZA (2010) Biocontrol of *Fusarium* wilt by *Bacillus pumilus*, *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* and *Rhizobium* sp. on lentil. Turk J Biol 34:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3906/biy-0809-12>
  81. Kumar R, Chandra R (2008) Influence of PGPR and PSB on *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* strain competition and symbiotic performance in lentil. World J Agric Sci 4:297–301
  82. Kumar A, Jha MN, Singh D, Pathak D, Rajawat MVS (2021) Prospecting catabolic diversity of microbial strains for developing microbial consortia and their synergistic effect on Lentil (*Lens esculenta*) growth, yield and iron biofortification. Arch Microbiol 203:4913–4928. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-021-02446-9>
  83. Mishra PK, Mishra S, Selvakumar G, Bisht JK, Kundu S, Gupta HS (2009) Coinoculation of *Bacillus thuringiensis*-KR1 with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* enhances plant growth and nodulation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:753–761. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-9963-z>
  84. Tsigie A, Tilak KVBR, Saxena AK (2011) Field response of legumes to inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Biol Fert Soil 47:971–974. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-011-0573-1>
  85. Abdel-Fattah I, El-Nahrawy S, El-Mansoury MA (2020) Interaction effect of skipping irrigation and coinoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* and some strains of *Bacillus* bacteria on growth dynamics of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) its yield and water productivity. Egypt J Soil Sci 60:167–181. <https://doi.org/10.21608/ejss.2020.24792.1344>
  86. Gangaraddi V, Brahma Prakash G (2018) Evaluation of selected microbial consortium formulations on growth of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Int J Chem Stud 6:1909–1913. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.810.061>
  87. Gupta A, Saxena AK, Gopal M, Tilak KVBR (2003) Effects of coinoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and *Bradyrhizobium* sp. (*vigna*) on growth and yield of green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Trop Agric-London Then Trinidad 80:28–35 (0041-3216/2003/010028-08)
  88. Pandya M, Rajput M, Rajkumar S (2015) Exploring plant growth promoting potential of non rhizobial root nodules endophytes of *Vigna radiata*. Microbiol 84:80–89. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0026261715010105>
  89. Qureshi MA, Shakir MA, Iqbal A, Akhtar N, Khan A (2011) Coinoculation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and rhizobia for improving growth and yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). J Anim Plant Sci 21:491–497
  90. Sindhu SS, Gupta SK, Suneja S, Dadarwal KR (2002) Enhancement of green gram nodulation and growth by *Bacillus* species. Biol Plant 45:117–120. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015117027863>
  91. Tariq M, Hameed S, Yasmeen T, Ali A (2012) Non-rhizobial bacteria for improved nodulation and grain yield of mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Afr J Biotech 11:15012–15019. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB11.3438>
  92. Schwartz AR, Ortiz I, Maymon M, Herbold CW, Fujishige NA, Vijanderan JA, Villella W, Hanamoto K, Diener A, Sanders ER, Mason DA, Hirsch AM (2013) *Bacillus simplex*—A little known PGPB with anti-fungal activity—alters pea legume root architecture and nodule morphology when coinoculated with *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae*. Agron 3:595–620. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy3040595>
  93. Dutta S, Morang P, Kumar N, Kumar BD (2014) Two rhizobacterial strains, individually and in interactions with *Rhizobium* sp., enhance fusarial wilt control, growth, and yield in pigeon pea. J Microbiol 52:778–784. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-014-3496-3>
  94. Osman AG, Rugheim AME, Elsoni EM (2011) Effects of biofertilization on nodulation, nitrogen and phosphorus content and yield of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*). Adv Environ Biol 5:2742–2749
  95. Rajendran G, Sing F, Desai AJ, Archana G (2008) Enhanced growth and nodulation of pigeon pea by coinoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Rhizobium* spp. Bioresour Technol 99:4544–4550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.06.057>
  96. Tilak KVBR, Ranganayaki N, Manoharachari C (2006) Synergistic effects of plant-growth promoting rhizobacteria and *Rhizobium* on nodulation and nitrogen fixation by pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). Eur J Soil Sci 57:67–71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00771.x>
  97. Alves-Neto AJ, Lana MC, Lorenzetti E, Henkemeier NP, Schimloski S, Ritter G (2020) Conventional inoculants and biological

- protector, coinoculation and nitrogen fertilization in soybean. *Sci Agrar* 19:187–195. <https://doi.org/10.18188/sap.v19i2.23522>
98. Annapurna K, Ramadoss D, Bose P, Vithalkumar L (2013) In situ localization of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* HKA-15 in roots and root nodules of soybean (*Glycine max.* L.). *Plant Soil* 373:641–648. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1825-7>
  99. Araújo FFD, Hungria M (1999) Nodulação e rendimento de soja co-infectada com *Bacillus subtilis* e *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*/*Bradyrhizobium elkanii*. *Pesq Agropec Bras* 34:1633–1643. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X1999000900014>
  100. Araújo FF, Bonifácio A, Bavaresco LG, Mendes LW, Araújo ASF (2021) *Bacillus subtilis* changes the root architecture of soybean grown on nutrient-poor substrate. *Rhizosphere* 18:100348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rhisph.2021.100348>
  101. Atieno M, Herrmann L, Okalebo R, Lesueur D (2012) Efficiency of different formulations of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and effect of coinoculation of *Bacillus subtilis* with two different strains of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 28:2541–2550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-012-1062-x>
  102. Bai Y, Zhou X, Smith DL (2003) Enhanced soybean plant growth resulting from coinoculation of *Bacillus* strains with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *Crop Sci* 43:1774–1781. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2003.1774>
  103. Braga-Junior GM, Chagas LFB, Amaral LRO, Miller LO, Junior AFC (2018) Eficiência da inoculação por *Bacillus subtilis* sobre biomassa e produtividade de soja. *Rev Bras Ciênc Agrár* 13:5571. <https://doi.org/10.5039/agraria.v13i4a5571>
  104. Bullied WJ, Buss TJ, Vessey JK (2002) *Bacillus cereus* UW85 inoculation effects on growth, nodulation, and N accumulation in grain legumes: field studies. *Can J Plant Sci* 82:291–298. <https://doi.org/10.4141/P01-048>
  105. Iličić RM, Pivić RN, Dinić ZS, Latković DS, Vlajić SA, Jošić DLJ (2017) The enhancement of soybean growth and yield in a field trial through introduction of mixtures of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas chlororaphis*. *Not Sci Biol* 9:274–279. <https://doi.org/10.15835/nsb9210081>
  106. Li DM, Alexander M (1988) Coinoculation with antibiotic-producing bacteria to increase colonization and nodulation by rhizobia. *Plant Soil* 108:211–219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02375651>
  107. Marinković J, Bjelić D, Tintor B, Miladinović J, Đurić V, Đorđević V (2018) Effects of soybean coinoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in field trial. *Rom Biotechnol Lett* 23:13401–13408
  108. Masciarelli O, Llanes A, Luna V (2014) A new PGPR co-inoculated with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* enhances soybean nodulation. *Microbiol Res* 169:609–615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.10.001>
  109. Mel'nikova NN, Bulavenko LV, Kurdish IK, Titova LV, Kots' SYa, (2002) Formation and function of the Legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis of soybean plants while introducing bacterial strains from the genera *Azotobacter* and *Bacillus*. *Appl Biochem Microbiol* 38:368–372. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016291207590>
  110. Moretti LG, Crusciol CAC, Bossolani JW, Momesso JW, Garcia A, Kuramae EE, Hungria M (2020) Bacterial consortium and microbial metabolites increase grain quality and soybean yield. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 20:1923–1934. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-020-00263-5>
  111. Oliveira LBG, Teixeira-Filho MCM, Galindo FS, Nogueira TAR, Barco-Neto M, Buzetti S (2019) Forms and types of coinoculation in the soybean crop in Cerrado region. *Rev Ciênc Agrar* 42:924–932. <https://doi.org/10.19084/rca.15828>
  112. Pacentchuk F, Gomes JM, Lima VA, Mendes MC, Sandini IE, Jadoski SO (2020) Effect of coinoculation of plant growth promoting bacteria on soybean crop. *Res Soc Dev* 9:e39291211360. <https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v9i12.11360>
  113. Pankaj K, Mishra S, Mishra G, Selvakumar SK, Gupta HS (2009) Enhanced soybean (*Glycine max* L.) plant growth and nodulation by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*-SB1 in presence of *Bacillus thuringiensis*-KR1. *Acta Agric Scand B Soil Plant Sci* 59:189–196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710802040558>
  114. Sibponkrung S, Kondo T, Tanaka K, Tittabutr P, Boonkerd N, Yoshida K, Teaumroong N (2020) Coinoculation of *Bacillus velezensis* strain S141 and *Bradyrhizobium* strains promotes nodule growth and nitrogen fixation. *Microorganisms* 8:678. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8050678>
  115. Subramanian P, Kim K, Krishnamoorthy R, Sundaram S, Sa T (2015) Endophytic bacteria improve nodule function and plant nitrogen in soybean on coinoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* MN110. *Plant Growth Regul* 76:327–332. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-9993-x>
  116. Poonguzhali P, Selvaraj S, Madhaiyan M, Thangaraju M, Ryu J, Chung K, Sa T (2005) Effects of co-cultures, containing N-fixer and P-solubilizer, on the growth and yield of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.) and blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). *J Microbiol Biotech* 15:903–908
  117. Hedges LV, Gurevitch J, Curtis PS (1999) The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. *Ecol* 80:1150–1156. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(1999\)080\[1150:TMAORR\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1999)080[1150:TMAORR]2.0.CO;2)
  118. Gurevitch J, Hedges LV (2001) Meta-analysis: combining the results of independent experiments. In: Scheneir SM, Gurevitch J (eds) *Designs and analysis of ecological experiments*, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 347–369
  119. Viechtbauer W (2010) Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. *J Statist Software* 36:1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03>
  120. Buhian WP, Bensmihen S (2018) Mini-review: nod factor regulation of phytohormone signaling and homeostasis during rhizobia-legume symbiosis. *Front Plant Sci* 9:1247. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01247>
  121. Dayoub E, Naudin C, Piva G, Shirtliffe SJ, Fustec J, Corre-Hellou G (2017) Traits affecting early season nitrogen uptake in nine legume species. *Heliyon* 3:e00244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00244>
  122. Barnawal D, Maji D, Bharti N, Chanotiya CS, Kalra A (2013) ACC Deaminase-containing *Bacillus subtilis* reduces stress ethylene-induced damage and improves mycorrhizal colonization and rhizobial nodulation in *Trigonella foenum-graecum* under drought stress. *J Plant Growth Regul* 32:809–822. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-013-9347-3>
  123. Radhakrishnan R, Hashem A, Abd-Allah EF (2017) *Bacillus*: a biological tool for crop improvement through bio-molecular changes in adverse environments. *Front Physiol* 8:667. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00667>
  124. Kuz'yakov Y, Domanski G (2000) Carbon input by plants into the soil. *Review. J Plant Nutr Soil Sc* 163:421–431. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2624\(200008\)163:4%3c421::AID-JPLN421%3e3.0.CO;2-RLal,2017](https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2624(200008)163:4%3c421::AID-JPLN421%3e3.0.CO;2-RLal,2017)
  125. Kaschuk G, Yin X, Hungria M, Leffelaar PA, Giller KE, Kuyper TW (2012) Photosynthetic adaptation of soybean due to varying effectiveness of N<sub>2</sub> fixation by two distinct *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains. *Environm Exp Bot* 76:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.10.002>
  126. Backer R, Rokem JS, Ilangumaran G, Lamont J, Praslickova D, Ricci E, Subramanian S, Smith DL (2018) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: context, mechanisms of action, and roadmap to commercialization of biostimulants for sustainable agriculture. *Front Plant Sci* 9:1473. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01473>